

Asia Employment Law: Quarterly Review

2016-2017

ISSUE 16: SECOND QUARTER 2017



INDEX:

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Asia's legal and human resources advisors are often required to function across multiple jurisdictions. Staying on top of employment-related legal developments is important but can be challenging.

To help keep you up to date, our firm produces the **Asia Employment Law: Quarterly Review**, an e-publication covering 14 jurisdictions in Asia.

In this sixteenth edition, we flag and provide comment on anticipated employment law developments during the second quarter of 2017 and highlight some of the major legislative, consultative, policy and case law changes to look out for in 2017.

This publication is a result of ongoing cross-border collaboration between 14 law firms across Asia with whose lawyers our firm has had the pleasure of working with closely for many years. For a list of contributing lawyers and law firms, please see the [contacts page](#).

We hope you find this edition useful.



2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
Forward

LOOKING BACK

Building Code Changes Affecting Tenderers for Commonwealth-funded Building Work Take Effect

Changes to the federal legislation establishing the *Code for the Tendering and Performance of Building Work 2016* (the "**Code**") were rushed through Parliament early in the new year (*Building and Construction Industry (Improving Productivity) Amendment Act 2017* (Cth)), followed by amendments to the Code itself.

AUSTRALIA

17
& 20
FEB

2017

The changes implement new transitional rules for the requirements applicable to companies seeking to obtain work on federally-funded construction projects – in particular, the Code's imposition of limits on the content of enterprise agreements made between those companies and building industry unions. These limits are essentially aimed at removing union-friendly agreement clauses, or provisions which impede efficiency or productivity.

The effect of the transitional rules is to require tenderers for Commonwealth-funded work to have in place enterprise agreements compliant with the Code by 1 September 2017; and to require a Code-compliant agreement in order for a company to be awarded a tender before that date (subject to a number of exemptions which vary depending on when the relevant enterprise agreement was made and when the company submitted its tender).

[More...](#)

Fair Work Commission Reduces Penalty Rates in Retail and Hospitality Awards

In a highly controversial decision (*4-Yearly Review of Modern Awards – Penalty Rates* [2017] FWCFB 1001), a Full Bench of the Fair Work Commission (**FWC**) determined that Sunday and public holiday penalty rates for employees covered by six modern awards should be reduced.

The relevant awards apply to industries including fast food, retail, hospitality and pharmacies. Employers in these industries will be able to apply the lower penalty rates once the applicable transitional and phase-in arrangements have been decided on by the FWC – unless an employer has entered into an enterprise agreement (in which case the relevant modern award does not apply).

Penalty rates for work on weekends and public holidays, and for working other anti-social hours, have long been a subject of contest and debate in the Australian workplace relations system. While they are an established feature of employment in certain industries, penalty rates have come under challenge in recent years with the shift to 24-7 operations in businesses and the service economy.

The FWC Full Bench's decision has triggered significant political debate with the Government essentially supporting the outcome as a positive one for business, and a decision of an independent arbiter that should be respected. Opposition parties and the union movement have strongly condemned the decision and are seeking to override it through legislation which is unlikely to be passed by Parliament.

[More...](#)

AUSTRALIA

23
FEB

2017

Federal Court Clarifies Interaction of Leave Entitlements and Public Holidays

In *Construction, Forestry, Mining and Energy Union v Glendell Mining Pty Limited* [2017] FCAFC 35, the Federal Court of Australia confirmed that certain provisions contained in the National Employment Standards (**NES**) regarding the taking of annual and personal/carer's leave on public holidays are concerned only with employees' entitlements to such leave arising under the NES.

Specifically, the Court had to consider whether an employer has the right to make a deduction from an employee's annual or personal/carer's leave entitlement when the relevant leave occurs on a public holiday. An employer does not have such a right when the leave entitlement derives from the NES, which provides employees with a minimum of four weeks' paid annual leave and 10 days' personal/carer's leave per year.

[Con't](#)

AUSTRALIA

28
FEB

2017

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

AUSTRALIA

28
FEB

2017

However, according to the majority judgment in *Glendell*, that restriction does not apply in respect of any additional leave the employer may provide (for example, under an applicable award or enterprise agreement). In this case, where the employer provided up to six weeks' annual leave and 15 days' personal carer's leave, it was entitled to make deductions from the additional period of leave where it coincided with a public holiday.

Legislation to Protect Vulnerable Workers Introduced into Federal Parliament

Revelations of systemic breaches of workplace laws in a number of major franchise businesses, including the widely reported investigation by the Fair Work Ombudsman (**FWO**) into the 7-Eleven franchise in 2016, generated significant public concern. They also prompted calls for Australia's workplace laws to be amended to increase the responsibility of franchisors to monitor and take action in relation to activities occurring within their business networks.

In response, the Coalition Government introduced into Parliament the *Fair Work Amendment (Protecting Vulnerable Workers) Bill 2017*. The Bill proposes to introduce a number of amendments to the *Fair Work Act 2009* (Cth) (**FW Act**), implementing the Coalition's 2016 election policy to address underpayments and other forms of exploitation identified in various inquiries by the FWO and federal and state parliamentary committees. The most significant changes proposed by the Bill include:

- The introduction of higher civil penalties for 'serious contraventions' of prescribed workplace laws (e.g. underpayment of award wages), to address concerns that civil penalties under the FW Act are currently too low to effectively deter employers who exploit vulnerable workers. The maximum penalties for serious contraventions will be A\$540,000 for a corporation.
- Clarifying and increasing the applicable penalties for provisions relating to the failure by employers to maintain accurate employee records and payslips.
- Expressly prohibiting 'cash-back' arrangements through which employers unreasonably require their employees to make certain payments.
- Strengthening the evidence-gathering powers of the FWO and introducing new offences for hindering or obstructing investigations, or providing false or misleading information to the regulator.
- Making franchisors and holding companies responsible for contraventions of certain workplace laws by their franchisees or subsidiaries, where they knew or ought reasonably to have known of the contraventions and failed to take reasonable steps to prevent them.

Bill Introduced to Clarify Rules on Bargaining Notices and End 4-Yearly Reviews of Modern Awards

The Government has introduced into Parliament the *Fair Work Amendment (Repeal of 4 Yearly Reviews and Other Measures) Bill 2017*, which addresses two recommendations of the Productivity Commission's 2015 Workplace Relations Review. The Bill proposes to:

- Repeal, from 1 January 2018, the FW Act requirement that the FWC carry out reviews of all modern awards every four years. This is because the 4-yearly review process has placed substantial demands on all industrial relations parties, and has proven to be very resource-intensive for the FWC as well. Instead, new provisions would apply to enable changes to a modern award to be sought by parties and made by the FWC, based on social and economic necessity.
- Allowing the FWC to overlook minor procedural or technical errors when approving an enterprise agreement, where those errors are not likely to have resulted in any disadvantage to employees. For example, where technical errors have been made in the content of the Notice of Representational Rights which must be issued to employees at the commencement of bargaining, this should not prevent the ultimate approval of an agreement by the FWC (if the defect in the Notice had no relevant effect on the information being communicated to employees). This is intended to overcome the effect of numerous FWC and court decisions in which defective Notices, or other technical problems in the agreement-making process, have led to non-approval of agreements and the parties having to re-commence the bargaining process.

AUSTRALIA

1
MAR

2017

LOOKING BACK

AUSTRALIA

1
MAR

2017

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
Forward

AUSTRALIA

1
MAR

2017

New Queensland Industrial Relations Legislation Commences Operation

Extensive reforms to the state industrial laws in Queensland have taken effect. The *Industrial Relations Act 2016* (Qld) repeals and replaces previous legislation, introducing new rules relating to collective bargaining, minimum employment conditions and protections for employees against adverse treatment and workplace bullying.

Most provisions of the new legislation apply only to state government departments/agencies and local government authorities within Queensland. The new employment conditions include 10 days' paid domestic and family violence leave, a claim being sought by many unions in public sector bargaining and some parts of the private sector across Australia.

[More...](#)

AUSTRALIA

22
MAR

2017

Government Responds to Trade Unions Royal Commission with Legislation Banning Corrupt Payments

The *Fair Work Amendment (Corrupting Benefits) Bill 2017* seeks to implement a number of key recommendations of the 2015 Royal Commission into Trade Union Governance and Corruption. The Bill will create the following new criminal offences under the FW Act:

- Giving a registered organisation (i.e. trade union or employer association registered under federal law) or a person associated with a registered organisation a 'corrupting benefit' (e.g. a benefit intended to influence an individual improperly);
- Receiving or soliciting a corrupting benefit;
- An employer providing, offering or promising to provide any cash or in kind payment to a union or certain defined beneficiaries (other than legitimate payments, e.g. authorised deductions of union membership fees);
- Soliciting, receiving, obtaining or agreeing to obtain a prohibited payment.

The proposed maximum penalties for some of these offences are fines of up to A\$900,000 and/or 10 years' imprisonment for an individual, and fines of up to A\$4.5 million for an organisation. These very strong penalties are aimed at preventing situations, such as those considered by the Royal Commission, in which employers have secretly transferred large amounts of money to unions or their officials (in some instances to ensure 'industrial peace' for a period of time).

In addition, the Bill proposes to introduce new requirements that employers, employer organisations and unions must disclose any financial benefits that they would obtain under a proposed enterprise agreement (backed up by civil penalties). These requirements are intended to ensure that employees who will be covered by an agreement are aware of any financial transactions between the negotiating parties when they vote on the agreement.

AUSTRALIA

6
APR

2017

Fair Work Commission Overturns Approval of Enterprise Agreement

In *SDAEA v Beechworth Bakery Employee Co Pty Ltd t/a Beechworth Bakery* [2017] FWCFB 1664, a Full Bench of the Fair Work Commission (**FWC**) upheld the union's appeal against approval of an enterprise agreement. The overturning of the decision centred on an undertaking given by the employer to ensure reconciliation of any shortfall in payment under the agreement compared with the underpinning award.

The Full Bench's concern was that the undertaking did not sufficiently address the failure of the agreement to comply with the 'better off overall test', which measures terms and conditions under a proposed agreement with those in the applicable award. In particular, the Full Bench considered that the undertaking relied upon by an employee taking issue with the employer about any potential discrepancy in payment, and sought to implement an uncertain and possibly lengthy process for resolving such a discrepancy.

The decision highlights the ongoing difficulties for employers in ensuring that enterprise agreements are approved by the FWC, in circumstances where pay rates and other terms and conditions are at or close to the relevant award.

[More...](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
Forward

LOOKING BACK

AUSTRALIA

25
MAY

2017

Labour Hire Licensing Legislation Introduced in Queensland

The Queensland Government introduced the Labour Hire Licensing Bill into state Parliament. This legislation would implement a licensing scheme for all labour hire providers in Queensland, requiring them to obtain a licence – subject to a rigorous ‘fit and proper person’ test and detailed licensing standards to ensure they comply with workplace, safety and other laws. Users of labour hire services would be required to engage only a licensed provider. Substantial fines would apply to non-compliance with these new legal obligations.

This initiative of the Queensland Government is a response to inquiries held in that state, Victoria and South Australia in 2016, which identified evidence of widespread underpayment and other forms of exploitation of vulnerable workers – especially migrant workers in the horticultural industry. It is expected that labour hire licensing schemes will also be introduced in Victoria and SA later this year.

AUSTRALIA

2
JUN

2017

Full Federal Court Clarifies Union Right of Entry for Safety Purposes

In *ABCC v Powell* [2017] FCAFC 89, the Full Court of the Federal Court determined that a union official who was called onto a construction site to assist a health and safety representative, under Victorian OHS legislation, was required to comply with the conditions attaching to right of entry under federal law – in particular, the official needed to have and produce a federal right of entry permit. The Full Court found that attending the worksite to assist the safety representative was a form of ‘entry’ to which the strict requirements of Part 3-4 of the *Fair Work Act 2009* (Cth) attached.

[More...](#)

AUSTRALIA

5
JUN

2017

Penalty Rate Reductions – Transitional Phase-In Determined by Fair Work Commission

Following its 23 February decision to reduce award penalty rates in the retail, restaurant, hospitality and fast food sectors (see above), a Full Bench of the FWC has clarified the phase-in arrangements for reductions to Sunday penalty rates. These cuts will be implemented gradually over a four-year period from 1 July 2017: *4-Yearly Review of Modern Awards – Penalty Rates – Transitional Arrangements* [2017] FWCFCB 3001.

For example, for a full-time employee covered by the retail award, the Sunday penalty rate will be reduced from 200% to 195% on 1 July 2017; then to 180% on 1 July 2018; then to 165% on 1 July 2019; and finally to 150% on 1 July 2020. For the full schedule of Sunday penalty rate reductions across all relevant awards, go to [this link](#) on the FWC website.

However, a judicial review application has been brought by two unions covering retail and hospitality workers, seeking to challenge the FWC Full Bench’s original February decision. The case will be heard in the Federal Court in coming months, and if successful would jeopardise the legality of any penalty rate reductions implemented by employers from 1 July 2017.

AUSTRALIA

6
JUN

2017

Australian Minimum Wage Increased by 3.3%

The Full Bench of the FWC conducting the Annual Wage Review decided to increase the national minimum wage and award minimum wages by 3.3% from 1 July 2017: *Annual Wage Review 2016-17* [2017] FWCFCB 3500. As a result, the minimum wage has risen from A\$17.70 to A\$18.29 per hour, or A\$672.70 to A\$694.90 per week (based on a 38-hour week for a full-time employee). The wage increases apply to approximately 2.3 million Australian employees.

CONTRIBUTED BY:

CORRS
CHAMBERS
WESTGARTH
lawyers

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

LOOKING BACK

CHINA

12
JAN

2017

Circular of the Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security and the Ministry of Finance on Matters Relating to the Transfer and Continuation of Basic Endowment Insurance Relations and Occupational Annuities of Organisations and Public Institutions

On January, 12 2017, the Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security and the Ministry of Finance issued the Circular on Matters Relating to the Transfer and Continuation of Basic Endowment Insurance Relations and Occupational Annuities of Organisations and Public Institutions (the "Circular"). Since commencement on October 1, 2014, the Circular makes arrangements with regard to matters such as the transfer and continuation of basic endowment insurance, parameters for calculation and payment of benefits, handling of multiple endowment insurance, and the transfer and continuation of occupational annuities. The Circular provides that, where an insured employee moves between organisations and public institutions within an area under the same planning zone for basic endowment insurance, only his or her endowment insurance needs to be transferred, funds do not need to be transferred. Where an insured employee moves from an organisation or public institution to an enterprise, the funds need to be transferred together with the basic endowment insurance. Where an insured employee moves between the organisations and public institutions within areas under different planning zone for basic endowment insurance, or from an organisation or public institution to an enterprise, the deposits of individual contributions included in his or her individual account for basic endowment insurance shall be transferred in full; and the contributions made by his or her employer shall be transferred at the rate of 12% of the total sum, using the actual annual wages for payment by individuals after annual review as the base, if the payment period is less than one year, the percentage of payment to be transferred shall be calculated according to the number of months contributions were made.

[More...](#)

CHINA

17
JAN

2017

Circular of the General Office of the Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security on Implementing the Agreement on Social Security between China And Finland

The Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security ("MOHRSS") has issued the Circular on Implementing the Agreement on Social Security between China and Finland (the "Circular"), clearly states that the Agreement on Social Security between the Government of the People's Republic of China and the Government of the Republic of Finland (the "Agreement") entered into force as of February 1, 2017. According to the Circular, the Agreement includes the scope of reciprocally exempted insurances, Chinese individuals eligible to relevant exempted social insurance contributions in Finland, Finland individuals eligible to relevant exempted social insurance contributions in China, the duration for the exemption of social insurance contributions, and other contents. In particular, the scope of reciprocally exempted insurances includes the basic endowment insurance and unemployment insurance for employees in China; and the annuity and unemployment insurance for the aged, the disabled and members of the deceased employees under the annuity plan relating to incomes in Finland, involving such personnel as follows: 1. dispatched personnel; 2. self-employed individuals; 3. employees working on sea-going vessels; 4. flight crew employed on board aircraft; 5. diplomatic personnel, consular officers and civil servants; and 6. exceptions. The duration, for the dispatched personnel and self-employed individuals who apply for an exemption for the first time, may last up to five years. In addition, the Circular also provides for administrative measures for exemption of relevant social insurance contributions permitted under the Agreement.

[More...](#)

CHINA

16
FEB

2017

Circular of the Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security and the Ministry of Finance on Issues concerning Reduction of Unemployment Insurance Premium Rate in phases

The Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security and the Ministry of Finance issued the Circular on Issues concerning Reduction of Unemployment Insurance Premium Rate in

[Con't...](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

CHINA

16
FEB

2017

phases (the "Circular") on February 16 2017. The Circular contains the following provisions. Firstly, provinces (autonomous regions or municipalities) where the overall unemployment insurance premium rate is 1.5%, are allowed to reduce such overall premium rate to 1% from January 1, 2017, and the premium rate reduction shall be in force until April 30, 2018. The respective premium rate applicable to entities and individuals shall be harmonized across the relevant province (autonomous region or municipality), and the rate at which the premium is paid by individuals shall not be higher than that paid by entities. Specific plans shall be researched and determined respectively by each province (autonomous region or municipality). Secondly, provinces where the overall unemployment insurance premium rate which has already been lowered to 1% shall still be subject to the Circular of the Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security and the Ministry of Finance on Periodically Reducing Social Insurance Payment Rates. Thirdly, all places are required to take into full account the impacts on the payment capacity of the fund exerted by certain factors, such as the payment of unemployment insurance benefits in full and on time, raised benefits of unemployment insurance, promotion of reemployment for the unemployed, implementation of the policy on the employment stabilizing subsidy from the unemployment insurance fund, and making specific plans which shall not be in force until approved by the provincial government. These are required to be submitted to the Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security and the Ministry of Finance for record.

[More...](#)

CHINA

8
MAY

2017

Rules for Handling Arbitration Cases Involving Labor and Personnel Disputes

On 8 May 2017, the Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security ("MOHRSS") issued the Rules for Handling Arbitration Cases Involving Labor and Personnel Disputes (the "Rules"). The Rules shall enter into force as of July 1, 2017. The previous Rules for Handling Arbitration Cases Involving Labor and Personnel Disputes (Order of the MOHRSS No.2) released by the MOHRSS on January 1, 2009 shall be repealed at the same time.

The Rules, comprised of five chapters with a total of 81 articles, provide for applications for arbitral proceedings and acceptance thereof, hearing and rendering arbitration awards, summary adjudication, and procedures for handling cases involving collective labor disputes, and mediation procedures.

[More...](#)

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

CONTRIBUTED BY:

競天公誠律師事務所
JINGTIAN & GONGCHENG

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
Forward

Promulgation of Code of Practice for Employment Agencies

The Labour Department (the “**LD**”) has promulgated the Code of Practice for Employment Agencies (the “**CoP**”) and launched an Employment Agencies Portal (the “**Portal**”) on 13 January 2017.

The CoP lists out the salient statutory requirements which all employment agencies must observe, in particular, the requirements under the Employment Ordinance (Cap. 57), the Employment Agency Regulations (Cap. 57A), the Immigration Ordinance (Cap. 115) and the Personal Data (Privacy) Ordinance (Cap. 486). It also sets out the minimum standards which the Commissioner for Labour (the “**Commissioner**”) expects from employment agency licensees, such as maintaining transparency in business operations, drawing up service agreements with job-seekers and employers and display of licences. When considering whether a person is a fit and proper person to operate an employment agency, the Commissioner will take into account whether a licensee has met or an applicant can meet these standards.

Compliance with the CoP is monitored by the Employment Agencies Administration of the LD, which has the power to issue warning letters to employment agencies for rectification of any irregularities. An unsatisfactory track record may also affect the Commissioner’s decision of revoking, or refusing to grant or renew employment agency licences.

Under the laws of Hong Kong, all employment agencies are required to obtain a licence or Certificate of Exemption from the Commissioner before undertaking any job placement business.

*Press Release
The CoP*

HONG
KONG13
JAN

2017

Proposed Abolition of Mandatory Provident Fund (“MPF”) Offsetting in the 2017 Policy Address

At present, an employer who is liable to pay an employee severance payment (“**SP**”) or long service payment (“**LSP**”) under the Employment Ordinance, can offset the SP or LSP with the accrued benefits derived from the employer’s contributions made to an MPF scheme for the employee.

The Chief Executive has in the 2017 Policy Address proposed to progressively abolish the “offsetting” of SP or LSP systems with MPF contributions. The proposal contains 3 elements.

- The abolition will have no retrospective effect and employers will be allowed to offset before a specified implementation date;
- The amount of SP or LSP payable for an employment period from the implementation date will be adjusted downwards from two-thirds to half of an employee’s monthly pay; and
- The Government will share part of the expenditure of SP or LSP of employers in the 10 years after the implementation date.

Following the abolition, the Government’s next target is to develop an electronic system to facilitate the standardisation and automation of the MPF scheme administration.

No immediate action is required as it is unlikely that the MPF offsetting mechanism will be abolished this year, due to the time required for public consultation and legislative amendments. Nevertheless, employers should note the proposal and its subsequent developments.

*Press Release
The 2017 Policy Address*

HONG
KONG18
JAN

2017

HONG
KONG19
JAN

2017

Domestic Helper Wins Lawsuit against Employers for Sex Discrimination over Pregnancy Test

In the Hong Kong District Court decision of *Walayah v. Yip Hoi Sun Terence and Chan Man Hong* (DCEO 1/2015 & DCCJ 1041/2015), a domestic helper succeeded in her claim for sex and pregnancy discrimination against her former employer and his wife who had asked her
Con’t...

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

HONG
KONG**19
JAN**

2017

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
required**Looking
Back****Looking
Forward**

to take a pregnancy test and then terminated her employment after her pregnancy was confirmed.

Facts

The two respondents, R1 and R2, were husband and wife. The claimant ("C") was employed by R1 as a live-in maid. In October 2013, R2 (i.e., the wife) asked C to urinate into a potty for the purpose of a home-pregnancy test as it was observed that C's tummy was growing big. C took part in the test voluntarily as she was eager to know whether she was in fact pregnant. The pregnancy test indicated a positive result. R2 then took C to the hospital where C's pregnancy was confirmed and they considered C should undergo an abortion.

A couple of days after the pregnancy was confirmed, R1 gave C one month's notice of termination of employment. C was subsequently asked to move out of R1's home before the expiry of the notice period.

Claim

C's claims against R1 and R2 were for damages arising from sex and pregnancy discrimination, breach of the implied term of mutual trust and confidence in her contract of employment, breach of the Employment Ordinance and unlawful dismissal.

Court Findings

Had R2 committed any unlawful discriminatory act?

It is well established that the intention or motive to discriminate is irrelevant to determining liability for unlawful sex discrimination. The consent or co-operation of the employee is, likewise, not determinative. The consent or compliance could have been the result of the employee's general servile or subservient character or (similar to the present case), ignorance of legal rights.

The Court accepted that whether a female employee is pregnant is a private matter about which the employer has no right to know. If an employee was asked to take a pregnancy test in a supervised manner but was not given an option whether or not to inform the employer the result, then such request is capable of constituting a less favourable treatment on the ground of gender. This is because a male employee would not be asked to disclose such private information to his employer.

The Court ruled that R2's request for C to take the home-pregnancy test, notwithstanding C's willingness to comply with the request, amounted to a direct sex discrimination.

The termination of C's employment

The Court ruled that R1, who did not appear for the trial, would not have terminated C's employment and/or demanded C to leave his house but for her pregnancy. Apart from constituting unlawful pregnancy discrimination, R1's conduct was also held to have amounted to a breach of the implied term of trust of confidence, a breach of the Employment Ordinance regarding pregnancy protection and unlawful dismissal.

There was no evidence suggesting that R2 had played a role in the termination of C's employment and/or demanding C to leave R1's house. Hence, R2 was not liable for R1's conduct.

Lessons for Employers

Employers should not direct an employee to take a pregnancy test and to disclose the result solely for the purpose for ascertaining whether or not the employee is pregnant. Generally, an employee has the right to choose whether or not and if so, when to inform her employer of her pregnancy. There is no requirement under the Employment Ordinance as to when notice of pregnancy must be given to the employer. However, some of the protection available to a pregnant employee under the Employment Ordinance may not come into play until notice of pregnancy is given.

This case also serves as an important reminder to employers that an act would not be prevented from being discriminatory simply because of the absence of subjective intention or motive to discriminate or because the employee does not object to the request of an employer.

Judgment

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
Forward**Statutory Minimum Wage ("SMW") Likely to Rise to HK\$34.5 per hour in May 2017**HONG
KONG20
JAN

2017

On 20 January 2017, notice was gazetted to increase the SMW rate to HK\$34.50 per hour (up from the current HK\$32.50 per hour). Subject to approval by the Legislative Council, the new rate will come into effect on 1 May 2017. To reflect the change to the SMW rate, the current HK\$13,300 monthly cap (above which an employer is not required to keep a written record of the employee's hours worked) will be increased to HK\$14,100 per month.

Employers should take steps to update their payroll procedures to reflect this change.

[More...](#)

Standard Working Hours Report Submitted to the Chief Executive

The Standard Working Hours Committee submitted its report on working hours policy to the Chief Executive. The Government is recommended to:

- Adopt a legislative approach to mandate employers to enter into written employment contracts with the lower-income grass-roots employees, which shall include terms on working hours and overtime compensation arrangements.
- Adopt a legislative approach to specify that the lower-income grass-roots employees should be entitled to overtime compensation by way of overtime pay at a rate no less than the rate of the agreed wages (under) or the equivalent time-off in lieu, so as to further protect these lower-income employees
- Through the existing industry-based tripartite committees and setting up new ones for other sectors with relatively long working hours, to continuously engage in stakeholders from sectors, with a view to formulating sector-specific guidelines setting out suggested working hours standards, overtime compensation methods and good working hours management measures for employers' reference and adoption so as to improve employees' working hours arrangements; and
- Monitor the implementation of the above recommendations and review their effectiveness after two years of implementation, and continue to explore through an appropriate tripartite platform the need for Standard Working Hours legislation

No action is required at this stage, but employers should follow developments to see how the recommendations are addressed by the Government.

[Full Report](#)
[Press Release](#)

HONG
KONG27
JAN

2017

Joint Statement Calling for Anti-Discrimination Laws Covering LGBTI RightsHONG
KONG9
MAR

2017

The Equal Opportunities Commission ("EOC") has issued a joint statement with the Gender Research Centre and The Chinese University of Hong Kong, urging the Government to launch a public consultation and introduce legislation against discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation, gender identity and intersex status as soon as possible.

The statement was supported by 75 other organizations and academics across different sectors.

No action is required at this stage, but employers should note that the statement indicates increased public recognition of the LGBTI rights.

[Press Release](#)

Can Conduct at a Private Function Amount to a Conflict of Interest Disentitling the Employee to an End of Contract Gratuity?HONG
KONG17
MAR

2017

The Hong Kong Court of First Instance held in *Chok Kin Ming v Equal Opportunities Commission* (HCLA 42/2015) that an employee's conduct during a private function could amount to a conflict of interest disentitling the employee to an end of contract gratuity under the terms of that contract of employment. The Court allowed the Equal Opportunity Commission's ("EOC") appeal and set aside the Labour Tribunal (the "Tribunal") order that it had wrongfully not paid the end of contract gratuity.

[Con't...](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

HONG
KONG17
MAR

2017

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
Forward**Facts**

The employee had been employed by the appellant, EOC, since 1996 under fixed term contracts of employment and was appointed as a Chief Equal Opportunities Officer subsequently. The employment contract provided for an end of contract gratuity if there is "satisfactory completion of the agreement in the opinion of the employer".

The EOC's Code of Conduct imposed obligations on every employee

- To avoid situations of conflicts with the EOC (including involvement in any activity outside which compromises his/her ability to act with total objectivity)
- To report actual or potential situations of conflicts and make full disclosure

From August to October 2014, the EOC conducted a public consultation on discrimination law review ("DLR") and the employee was a key member on the DLR taskforce. Some of the questions presented for consultation related to de facto and same-sex relationships. The EOC's role in the DLR consultation was to be impartial.

The employee attended to a church forum in a personal capacity to introduce and explain the DLR consultation. During the forum the employee had urged the audience to answer particular questions in a certain way, told them how to respond to maximize the number of responses so that it did not look like their letters were produced by "cut and paste", told the audience that he had objected to the EOC's proposal to include same-sex relationships within the protection of de facto marriage and encouraged them to oppose the proposal.

The forum was recorded without the employee's knowledge and was subsequently reported in the media. The employee and the EOC were criticized and the credibility of the DLR was put into doubt. In a subsequent internal investigation, the employee was found to have breached the Code of Conduct by placing himself in a position of conflict and his duty of fidelity and good faith. The EOC did not renew the employee's contract of employment and on expiry of the contract decided not to pay the end of contract gratuity as they did not consider that there had been "satisfactory completion" of the employment contract.

Tribunal Findings

The employee was successful in his claim for the end of contract gratuity in the Tribunal. The key findings by the Tribunal are, in summary:

- "Satisfactory completion" referred to temporal completion (ie. just finishing the three-year term) and the EOC could not take into account the employee's work performance.
- The employee had been invited to and attended the forum, which was a private function, in a personal capacity and his own time, and it had nothing to do with his work performance.
- The employee had the freedom to express his opinions in his personal capacity about the DLR consultation.
- On the balance of probabilities of what the employee said and did at the forum, there was no conflict of interest and nor did it impact on his work performance.

Court Findings

On appeal, the Court held that the expression "satisfactory completion" (as a matter of construction of the contract) did not only refer to the dimension of time, but also included the quality of the employee's performance. As such, the manner in which the contract of employment was completed was a condition for payment of the gratuity.

Next, the Court considered whether the Tribunal failed to apply the correct legal test in ruling that the EOC wrongfully failed to pay the gratuity. The Court held that where the employer has a discretionary power under the contract of employment such as payment of a bonus, a breach of contract is to be established by showing that the employer's decision was irrational or perverse. In other words, where no reasonable employer would have exercised the discretion in the same way. That discretion is not to be treated as wholly unfettered, but the court does not substitute its own opinion.

In this regard, the Court said

"It is one thing for an employee to criticise his employer during a private dinner

Con't...

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
required**Looking
Back****Looking
Forward**

with friends — and the employer may well be acting perversely if he withholds the gratuity for this reason — but it is in my opinion quite another thing for the employee (who has a key role in a supposedly impartial public consultation exercise and who is introduced to the forum as an insider) to denigrate the DLR in front of 50 or 200 seminar participants, to urge them to respond to a number of questions in a particular way, and to offer assistance to make their response appear independent and attract weight.”

The Court did not consider that the Code of Conduct sought to suppress the freedom of expression of the employee. It sought to avoid potential conflict situations between the employee’s outside activities and his work in the EOC.

The Court allowed the appeal and set aside the order of the Tribunal. It held that as it did not have jurisdiction to reverse findings of fact it remitted the matter back to the Tribunal for determination.

Lessons for Employers

End of contract gratuity payments can be made subject to the performance of the employee during the period of employment. An employer who wishes to impose this condition should be careful to make this clear in the contractual terms.

An employee’s conduct outside of work can give rise to a conflict of interest with those of his/her employer’s interest. Employers should clearly set out in their contract or policy the situations which may give rise to a conflict. This will help manage expectations of the employee and, should the need arise, breach by the employee.

Employers are reminded that where they have a discretion to exercise under a contract of employment, the exercise of that discretion is not unfettered and must be exercised not irrationally or perversely.

Judgment

Lawmaker’s Initial Assessment of Equal Opportunities Commission’s Recommendations to Reform Anti-Discrimination Legislation

Among the 27 issues which were highlighted by Hong Kong’s Equal Opportunities Commission (“**EOC**”) as higher priority for discrimination law reform, the lawmakers have identified 9 recommendations which are likely to drive consensus among stakeholders and society, with a view to taking forward necessary legislative amendments in a step-by-step manner. They can be summarised as follow:

1. To introduce express provisions in Family Status Discrimination Ordinance (“**FSDO**”) or Sex Discrimination Ordinance (“**SDO**”) prohibiting direct and indirect discrimination on grounds of breastfeeding and to include expressing milk in the definition of breastfeeding.
2. To amend provisions in the Race Discrimination Ordinance (“**RDO**”) that prohibit direct discrimination on the ground of race of a “near relative” by replacing the definition of “near relative” with “associate” which is wider in scope, and to include protection from direct discrimination by perception or imputation that a person is of a particular racial group.
3. To expand the scope of protection from sexual, disability and racial harassment, including
 - Protection from direct discrimination and harassment by perception or imputation that a person is of a particular racial group;
 - Protection from sexual, racial and disability harassment to persons in a common workplace;
 - Protection from racial and disability harassment of service providers by service users, including harassment that takes place on Hong Kong registered aircraft and ships;
 - Protection of tenants/subtenants from sexual, racial or disability harassment by another occupying the same premises; and
 - Protection from sexual, racial and disability harassment by management of clubs of members.

Con’t...

HONG
KONG**17
MAR**

2017

HONG
KONG**20
MAR**

2017

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
ForwardHONG
KONG20
MAR

2017

4. To align the 4 anti-discrimination ordinances by repealing provisions under SDO, FSDO and RDO which require proof of intention to discriminate in order to obtain damages for indirect discrimination.

No action is required at this stage as it is likely that the Government will conduct further consultation on the prioritized recommendations before proposing any legislations. However, employers should note that these findings indicate a tendency towards more anti-discrimination protection in the society.

[EOC's submissions to LegCo](#)

HONG
KONG11
APR

2017

A Case on Contempt of Court in the Labour Tribunal

Under section 42 of the Labour Tribunal Ordinance ("**LTO**"), if any person engages in threatening or insulting behaviour or wilfully interrupts a hearing before the Labour Tribunal, the Presiding Officer may summarily sentence that person to a level 3 fine (which is currently HK\$10,000) and imprisonment for 6 months.

In *Mahesh J Roy* (CACV 226/2015), the appellant (who was not even involved in a Labour Tribunal claim, but who appears to have been present in court to support his girlfriend) had been found guilty of insulting behaviour by the Presiding Officer. Although details of the "insulting behaviour" are scarce, it would appear that the appellant was asked by the Presiding Officer to stop assisting his girlfriend in the courtroom and, presumably, responded to the Presiding Officer's request in such a manner as for the Presiding Officer to hold that it amounted to insulting behaviour worthy of summary sentencing. We can only guess at precisely what was said or done.

In any case, the appellant was sentenced by the Presiding Officer to a fine of HK\$5,000 under section 42 of the LTO. The appellant was appealing against that sentence to the Court of Appeal. While the Court of Appeal was primarily dealing with a procedural issue (with the substantive appeal set down to be heard on 22 September 2017), this case is a handy reminder that misbehaviour in the Labour Tribunal can be punished and the Presiding Officer does (occasionally) take action.

The person sentenced by the Presiding Officer was not a party to the Labour Tribunal proceedings, but was someone assisting the claimant in the Labour Tribunal. Therefore section 42 of the LTO will also apply to non-parties in the Labour Tribunal.

[Judgment...](#)

HONG
KONG13
APR

2017

Costs Awarded on an Indemnity Basis in Sex Discrimination Proceedings

Facts

The respondents are husband and wife who employed the claimant, a Filipino foreign domestic helper ("**FDH**"), to work in the respondents' household. The husband masturbated his genitals and exposed his penis behind the claimant when she was working at the respondents' residence on multiple occasions. The claimant videotaped the husband's acts without his knowledge and complained to the wife. The wife terminated the claimant's employment and refused to release her belongings or give any terminal compensations unless she signed a resignation letter, deleted the video and promised not to file a claim. The claimant reported the matter to the Police and the husband was eventually convicted of attempted indecent assault. The claimant also brought a separate action against the husband for harassment and the wife for victimization under the Sex Discrimination Ordinance ("**SDO**"). Both proceedings were consolidated and defended by the husband and wife. Despite their admission of liability, the husband and wife disputed their liability to pay for the claimant's costs.

Court Findings

The Court first considered the SDO as a no costs regime with safeguards. There is a need to offer costs protection to victims of sex offences which are always under pressure and may be too shy to lodge any complaint. On the other hand, there is a need to guard against frivolous and vexatious litigants. Therefore, each party shall bear its own costs and costs will only be ordered when the proceedings were brought maliciously or frivolously or in "special circumstances".

[Cont'd](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
ForwardHONG
KONG13
APR

2017

Regarding what amounts to “special circumstances”, the Court held that it is not restricted to litigation conduct, but should take into account the overall circumstances, which would include the nature of the conduct being complained of, the pre and post-proceedings conducts. The Court considered that the respondents’ unwelcomed conducts and their deliberate attempts to prolong litigation would amount to special circumstances that warrant an award of costs against the respondents. Also, the Court awarded costs on indemnity basis because the pre and post-litigation conducts of the respondents are oppressive, unlawful and criminal.

Lessons for Employers

This case is a handy reminder to employers that employees (including FDHs) are protected under the SDO for sexual misconduct committed by employers (and household family members of employers). Employers can face victimization and unlawful dismissal claims if they act in an oppressive manner towards their employees who have suffered sexual harassment/misconduct in the course of their employment.

The case also illustrates that the court will take into account a wide range of factors, including the nature of discriminatory conduct, the pre and post-litigation conduct of discriminators when determining costs in discriminatory proceedings.

Judgment...

HONG
KONG28
APR

2017

Is a Same Sex Partner of an Employee a “Spouse” for Employment Benefits? A Closer Look at the Recent Judgment of *Leung Chun Kwong v. Secretary for the Civil Service and Another*

Facts

Mr. Leung, a Chinese national and Hong Kong permanent resident, had been employed by the Government as an immigration officer since 2003 under a contract of employment which was subject to the Civil Service Regulations (“**CSRs**”). Mr. Leung married Mr. Adams, a New Zealand national, in April 2014 in New Zealand. As Hong Kong law does not allow for same-sex marriage, Mr. Leung and Mr. Adams married in New Zealand where same-sex marriage is legally permissible.

When Mr. Leung sought to update his marital status with his employer to apply for spousal benefits under the CSRs, he was told by the Secretary for Civil Service (the “**Secretary**”) that his same-sex marriage in New Zealand was not consistent with Hong Kong’s Marriage Ordinance, under which a marriage is defined as “the voluntary union for life of one man and one woman”. As a result, the Secretary decided that the same-sex marriage of Mr. Leung and Mr. Adams did not constitute a change in marital status and they were denied access to spousal benefits which are available to spouses of heterosexual marriage (the “**Benefits Decision**”).

Meanwhile, Mr. Leung’s application for joint assessment of tax return was rejected on the ground that a same-sex marriage is not regarded as a valid marriage for the purposes of the Inland Revenue Ordinance (“**IRO**”). As a result, Mr. Leung was not allowed to have his tax liability jointly assessed with that of Mr. Adams as a married couple (the “**Tax Decision**”).

Mr. Leung applied for judicial review of the Benefits Decision and the Tax Decision against the Secretary and the Commissioner of Inland Revenue respectively. The primary ground for Mr. Leung’s application is based on the constitutional right to equality (or not to be discriminated against).

Court Findings

(a) *The Benefits Decision*

The Court first set out its approach for determining whether a person’s constitutional right to equality had been infringed. The Court adopted the test in previous Court of Final Appeal decisions that any difference in legal treatment must satisfy a proportionality test, which requires that the (i) difference in treatment to pursue a legitimate aim; (ii) difference in treatment be rationally connected to the legitimate aim; (iii) difference in treatment be no more than necessary to accomplish the legal aim; and (iv) a reasonable balance to be struck between the societal benefits and the constitutional rights of the individual.

Cont’d

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

The Court observed that Hong Kong law does not recognize same-sex marriages and that due to his sexual orientation, Mr. Leung cannot (or cannot be expected to) enter into a heterosexual marriage. As such the Court concluded that the differential treatment accorded to Mr. Leung should be regarded as being based (at least indirectly) on his sexual orientation.

The Court then considered whether the differential treatment based on sexual orientation can be justified. The Secretary argued that the differential treatment is justifiable because (i) the Secretary is acting in line with the prevailing marriage law of Hong Kong (which does not recognize same-sex marriages) in the administration of the CSRs; (ii) any decision to the contrary would require the Secretary to indirectly recognize same-sex marriage as valid in Hong Kong; and (iii) it is reasonably necessary to achieve the legitimate aims of not undermining the integrity of the institution of marriage or protecting the institution of the traditional family as understood in Hong Kong.

These arguments were rejected by the Court. The Court held that there is nothing illegal or unlawful for the Secretary to accord the same spousal benefits to homosexual couples who are legally married under foreign laws, nor is there anything inherently wrong or impermissible for the Secretary to indirectly recognize a same-sex marriage which is legally contracted overseas. Further, the Court was unable to see how the denial of spousal benefits to homosexual couples who are legally married under foreign laws would serve the purpose of not undermining the integrity of the institution of marriage in Hong Kong, or protecting the institution of the traditional family in Hong Kong.

In the circumstances, the Court decided that the differential treatment could not be justified and allowed the application in respect of the Benefits Decision.

(b) *The Tax Decision*

The Court considered that the Tax Decision raises essentially a question of construction of statute of whether same-sex marriage legally entered into under foreign laws may also constitute “marriage” for the purposes of the IRO.

The Court held that the Tax Decision was correctly decided because to construe “marriage” under the IRO as including same-sex marriages would run counter to the meaning of this term under Hong Kong laws. The Court further noted that the refusal to assess the tax liability of Mr. Leung jointly with that Mr. Adams as a married couple did not cause any prejudice to them as the joint assessment would have made no difference to their total tax liability.

In the circumstances, the Court did not consider the Tax Decision engage the constitutional right to equality and dismissed the application in respect of the Tax Decision.

(c) *Outcome*

Although the application for judicial review in respect of the Benefits Decision was allowed, the Court directed such decision to only take effect on 1 September 2017. This was to allow the Secretary time to consider making any applications to the Court or making other interim arrangement as may be necessary, in particular given the far-reaching implications of the judgment on the administration of the CSRs which would affect many civil servants.

The Department of Justice announced on 25 May 2017 that it has applied to appeal the judgment to the Court of Appeal.

Lessons for Employers

A difference in treatment based, directly or indirectly, on a civil servant’s sexual orientation is amenable to judicial review and could amount to a breach of constitutional right to equality if it cannot be legally justified. The fact that Hong Kong matrimonial law does not recognise same-sex marriages and the need not to undermine integrity of the institution of marriage or to protect the institution of the traditional family in Hong Kong fall short of a legitimate justification for differential treatment based on sexual orientation.

The decision is confined to the administration of CSRs which apply to civil servants. It may have limited impact for non-Government employers, the decision of which may not be amendable to judicial review or subject to the same level of scrutiny of constitutional

Cont’d

HONG
KONG

28
APR

2017

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

HONG
KONG**28
APR**

2017

rights violation. There is currently no legislation in Hong Kong against discrimination on the ground of sexual orientation but the Government has been repeatedly urged to undertake public consultation in this regard as soon as possible. It remains to be seen how the decision (and the outcome of the appeal) may impact on the process in relation to sexual orientation discrimination legislation.

[Judgment...](#)HONG
KONG**5
MAY**

2017

Hong Kong Employment (Amendment) Bill 2017

The Employment (Amendment) Bill 2017 (the "**Bill**") was gazetted on 5 May 2017.

The Bill aims to give the court or Labour Tribunal power to order reinstatement or re-engagement without the consent of the employer if requested by an employee who has been unreasonably and unlawfully dismissed and the court or Labour Tribunal considers such order to be appropriate and practicable. A similar bill was introduced in 2016 but subsequently lapsed at the end of the last term of the Legislative Council of Hong Kong. See our In Brief dated **6 July 2016**.

The main difference between the Bill and the 2016 bill is that the Bill proposes that if an employer refuses to comply with an order to reinstate or re-engage the dismissed employee, it will need to pay to the employee a further sum (in addition to other monetary remedies awarded to the employee) of three times the employee's average monthly wages up to a maximum of HK\$72,500 (the "**Sum**"). The maximum amount of the further sum in the 2016 bill was HK\$50,000. It is proposed that it will be an offence for an employer to wilfully and without reasonable excuse fail to pay the further sum. The Bill was introduced into the Legislative Council for first reading on 17 May 2017 and is awaiting for second and third readings.

No action is required at this stage, but employers should keep track of any subsequent legislative development.

[Press Release](#)[The Bill](#)

LOOKING BACK

Hong Kong Moves Closer to the Introduction of Regulating Working Hours

After years of public debates and bickering among labour unionists and business owners, Hong Kong's Chief Executive recently announced a framework towards regulating standard working hours.

The proposals, which have been endorsed by the Chief Executive, were put forward by the Standard Working Hours Committee ("**SWHC**") established in 2013 to assess the impact of regulating standard working hours on the city's employment market and economy.

Highlights of the proposals endorsed by the Chief Executive include:

- Requiring employers of employee with a monthly wage of HK\$11,000 or below to put in place a written employment contract, which must include the employee's working hours and overtime remuneration arrangements, and
- Mandating the overtime remuneration rate for these employees must not be less than the rate of agreed wages or, as an alternative, an equivalent amount of time off in lieu.

Statistics from SWHC's research reports have estimated that the new measure would cost Hong Kong employers in excess of HK\$500 million per year, and is likely to benefit more than half a million workers in Hong Kong.

The full details of introducing a standard working hours regime are yet to be determined. It is unlikely that any change will come into force that would impact employers for at least another three or four years.

No action is required at this stage as it is likely that the Government will conduct further consultation on the introduction of this standard working hours regime. However, employers should note that these findings indicate a tendency towards standard working hours legislation.

[Press Release](#)HONG
KONG**13
JUN**

2017

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

HONG
KONG**16
JUN**

2017

Hong Kong Employment (Amendment) (No. 2) Bill 2017

The Employment (Amendment) (No. 2) Bill 2017 (the "**Bill**") was gazetted on 16 June 2017.

The Bill aims to afford greater protection to job-seekers through strengthening the enforcement against the malpractices of employment agencies ("**EAs**"). Major amendments of the Bill are:

- To raise the maximum penalty for overcharging job-seekers on commissions from the existing maximum fine of HK\$50,000 to the proposed maximum fine of HK\$350,000 and imprisonment of three years.
- To raise the maximum penalty for unlicensed operation of EAs from the existing maximum fine of HK\$50,000 to the proposed maximum fine of HK\$350,000 and imprisonment of three years.
- To expand the scope of the offence of overcharging job-seekers to associates (including the management and employees of licensees) in addition to the licensees
- To provide new grounds for the Commissioner for Labour to refuse to issue/renew or revoke a licence, including (i) where an associate has contravened any provision or regulation relevant to the operation of EAs; (ii) a related person was convicted of an offence against the person of a child, young person or woman, or an offence involving membership of a triad society, fraud, dishonesty or extortion in the past five years; and (iii) the non-compliance of the Code of Practice for EAs.

The Bill was introduced into the Legislative Council for first reading on 28 June 2017 and is awaiting for second and third readings.

No action is required at this stage, but employers should keep track of any subsequent legislative development.

Press Release
The Bill

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

**Looking
Back**

**Looking
Forward**

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

LOOKING BACK

INDIA
**6
DEC**
2016**Payment of Bonus Amendment Rules, 2016 (the "PB Amendment Rules")**

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has published the PB Amendment Rules, which omit the proviso to rule 5(1) of the Payment of Bonus Rules, 1975. Rule 5 requires every employer to upload annual returns on or before 1 February each year. The proviso to rule 5(1) states that an annual return shall be filed by an employer within the timeline specified under section 19 of the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 (the "**PB Act**"). Section 19 of the PB Act prescribes the timeline only for making bonus payments, whereas the proviso to rule 5(1) discusses the timeline for filing annual returns under the PB Act. Accordingly, the said proviso has been omitted to eliminate the inconsistency, since these two timelines are for different purposes.

[More...](#)INDIA
**14
DEC**
2016**Draft Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Amendment Rules, 2016 (the "Draft Amendment Rules")**

Consequent to the enactment of the Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Amendment Act, 2016 (the "**Amendment Act**"), the Ministry of Labour and Employment has released the corresponding Draft Amendment Rules. The Draft Amendment Rules inter alia prescribe the measures to be taken by the government for preventing child labour, specify the conditions subject to which a child may be allowed to work, includes conditions around working hours and payment of remuneration, etc.

The Draft Amendment Rules are published with a consultation period of 30 days from 14 December 2016 during which stakeholders can submit their objections and suggestions, for consideration by the government.

[More...](#)INDIA
**19
DEC**
2016**The Contract Labour [Regulation and Abolition] [Karnataka] [Amendment] Rules, 2016 (the "CLRA Karnataka Amendment Rules")**

The Government of Karnataka has introduced the CLRA Karnataka Amendment Rules to amend the Karnataka Contract Labour [Regulation and Abolition] [Karnataka] Rules, 1974 (the "**Karnataka CLRA Rules**"). The Rule 25(2) (ix) of the Karnataka CLRA Rules provided that no female contract labourer shall be employed by any contractor before 6.00 am or after 7.00 pm. The CLRA Karnataka Amendment Rules omit Rule 25(2) (ix) and entries relating thereto and lift the prohibition on employing female contract labourers at night, subject to certain conditions such as that the woman employee(s) is willing to work, there is more than one woman employee during the night, transport facilities from the residence to workplace and back are provided free of cost and with adequate security, adequate number of security guards are posted during the night shift, etc.

The CLRA Karnataka Rules shall come into force from the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

INDIA
**20
DEC**
2016**Employees State Insurance Scheme to Promote Registration of Employers/Employees (the "SPREE")**

The Employees State Insurance Corporation has announced the SPREE to encourage registration of establishments, factories and employees coverable under the Employee's State Insurance Act, 1948 (the "**ESI Act**"). An establishment that obtains an ESI Act registration under the SPREE will be treated as being covered from the date declared/date of registration. As a result, it would be able to avoid penalties for any past period of liability (potential penalties range from fines to imprisonment for up to one year), unless the ESI authorities have already initiated proceedings prior to 20 December 2016 for irregularities/non-compliance under the ESI Act. The SPREE ends on 31 March, 2017.

[More...](#)INDIA
**22
DEC**
2016**Employees' State Insurance (Central) Third Amendment Rules, 2016 (the "ESI Third Amendment")**

The ESI Third Amendment has increased the wage threshold for coverage under the Employee's State Insurance Act, 1948 from INR 15,000 to INR 21000, with effect from 1 January 2017.

[More...](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
required**Looking
Back****Looking
Forward****Online Filing of Returns by Exempted/Relaxed Establishments**

The EPFO has issued a circular providing the following information about the unified portal that it is in the process of developing:

- Filing of online returns for exempted establishments is currently integrated in the ECR portal, however this portal was to be stopped from December 2016.
- Filing of online returns will be deployed on the Unified Portal being developed by CDAC once development and testing is completed.
- Therefore, exempted return and MIS Dashboard will not be available in the interim until it is deployed on the Unified Portal.

Since exempted and relaxed establishments are required to file monthly online returns in a sequential manner, such establishments must keep the data ready for months where the online filing portal is not functional, and must make filings for the pending months once the online portal is deployed.

More...

INDIA

**22
DEC**

2016

Guidelines for Processing Cases of Surrender of Employees' Provident Fund Exemption (the "EPF Exemption Surrender Guidelines")

The Employees' Provident Fund Organisation has issued the EPF Exemption Surrender Guidelines to set out the process followed for surrender of Employees' Provident Fund exemption by employers. The procedure to be followed is set out below:

- The employer must address an application to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner ('RPFC') and the appropriate government.
- The application should be supported by the central board of trustees and a copy of the notification or order must be issued by the appropriate government granting an exemption in favour of the establishment.
- In case the exempted establishment is unable to maintain the provident fund trust and intends to surrender its exemption, the RPFC will then facilitate transfer of funds from the employer's trust to the statutory fund and ensure compliance of the establishment as un-exempted from the date specified in the application. The RPFC will also get the trust fund audited by a third party auditor to obtain an assessment report pertaining to such fund and furnish a certificate as to whether the establishment has violated any term or condition of grant of exemption.

More...

INDIA

**27
DEC**

2016

The Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act, 2016 (the "Disabilities Act")

The Disabilities Act has been enacted to give effect to the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. In relation to private employers, the Disabilities Act imposes the following obligations:

- Every establishment should notify an equal opportunity policy, detailing the measures proposed to be taken by such establishment in pursuance of the provisions of the Disabilities Act around skill development and employment;
- Every establishment is required to register a copy of its equal opportunity policy with the authority appointed under the Disabilities Act; and
- Every establishment is required to maintain records of persons with disabilities in relation to the matter of employment, facilities provided and other necessary information as prescribed.

The Disabilities Act will come into force on a date that is appointed by the Central Government by notification in the Official Gazette.

More...

INDIA

**28
DEC**

2016

Employees' Provident Funds (Seventh Amendment) Scheme, 2016 (the "EPF Seventh Amendment Scheme")

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified the EPF Seventh Amendment Scheme, which inserts paragraph 82A in the Employee's Provident Funds Scheme, 1952

Con't

INDIA

**30
DEC**

2016

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
Forward

("EPF Scheme"). Paragraph 82A makes a special provision for the Employee's Enrolment Campaign, 2017, which will be in force between 1 January 2017 and 31 March 2017. The important points are as follows:

- Every employer who has failed to comply with the provisions of the EPF Scheme in relation to membership of employees and contribution thereto to the EPF Scheme shall furnish a declaration in the prescribed form ("Declaration") to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner ("RPFC") in respect of membership of the employees who were required or entitled to become members of the EPF Scheme in the period beginning 1 April 2009 and ending 31 December 2016 but were not enrolled as members for any reason. Within 15 days from making such Declaration, the employer shall remit the employer's contribution payable in accordance with the provisions of the EPF Scheme and the employee's contribution (where deducted from the employee's wages) along with interest payable. The employer shall file a return of compliance with this provision to the RPFC.
- If the employer fails to remit the contribution, interest and damages payable by him within the 15 day window, the Declaration sent by the employer shall be deemed to have not been made under the EPF Seventh Amendment Scheme.
- Where a Declaration has been made by misrepresentation or suppression of facts, it shall be void and shall be deemed to have not been made under this EPF Seventh Amendment Scheme and the person making such Declaration shall be liable to punishment.
- A proviso has been inserted, which provides for the waiver of the employee's contribution under the Employees' Enrolment Campaign, 2017 between 1 April 2009 and 31 December 2016.
- For any period of default between 1 April 2009 and 31 December 2016 for any remittances in respect of valid declarations under Employees' Enrolment Campaign, 2017, the rate of damages will be one rupee per annum.
- The administrative charges payable under Employees' Enrolment Campaign, 2017 for the period of 1 April 2009 to 31 December 2016 shall be nil.

[More...](#)

INDIA

30
DEC

2016

Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance (Second Amendment) Scheme, 2016 (the "EDLI Second Amendment")

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified the EDLI Second Amendment which inserts paragraph 28A in the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976. The paragraph specifies the rate of damages to be recovered for default in payment of any contribution in relation to employees who have become members under the Employees' Enrolment Campaign, 2017 and to whom the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme applies. The rate of damages specified is one rupee per annum.

The EDLI Second Amendment shall be in effect from 1 January 2017 to 31 March 2017.

[More...](#)

INDIA

30
DEC

2016

Employees' Pension (Seventh Amendment) Scheme, 2016 (the "Pension Seventh Amendment")

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified the Pension Seventh Amendment which inserts paragraph 43B in the Employees' Pension Scheme, 1995. The paragraph specifies the rate of damages to be recovered for default in payment of any contribution in relation to employees who have become members under the Employees' Enrolment Campaign, 2017 and to whom the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme applies. The rate of damages specified is one rupee per annum. The Pension Seventh Amendment shall be in effect from 1 January 2017 to 31 March 2017.

[More...](#)

INDIA

30
DEC

2016

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
required**Looking
Back****Looking
Forward**

LOOKING BACK

INDIA

**30
DEC**

2016

Amendment to Notification No. SO 324(E) issued by the Ministry of Labour and Employment

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has made the amendment to the Ministry of Labour and Employment notification number S.O. 324(E), dated 2 February 2015 ("**Notification**").

- The Notification earlier provided that the administrative charges payable by the employer for the purposes of paragraph 30 and Paragraph 38(1) of the Employees' Provident Fund Scheme shall be 0.85% of the pay, subject to a minimum of INR 75 per month for establishments with no contributory number and INR 500 per month for other establishments.
- The amendment to the Notification inserts a second proviso setting out that for any employees whose membership has been declared as per the Employees' Enrolment Campaign, 2017, the employer would not be required to pay any additional sums to the Deposit-linked Insurance Fund other than expenses towards the cost of benefits provided.

[More...](#)

INDIA

**4
JAN**

2017

Employees' Provident Funds (Amendment) Scheme, 2017

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified the Employees' Provident Funds (Amendment) Scheme, 2017 which amends paragraph 38 and paragraph 48 of Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952. As per the amendment to paragraph 38, an employer can now also pay the contributions to the provident fund through scheduled banks in India including private sector banks. As per the amendment to paragraph 48, the commissioner can now also deposit the bank drafts and cheques received from the employers through scheduled banks in India including private sector banks

[More...](#)

INDIA

**4
JAN**

2017

Use of Aadhaar as Identity Document for Delivery of Services or Benefits or Subsidies Under the Employees' Pension Scheme, 1995

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has issued a notification setting out the following information in relation to use of Aadhaar documentation:

- Members and pensioners of the Employees' Pension Scheme have to furnish proof of the possession of the Aadhaar number or undergo Aadhaar authentication as per the procedure laid down by the Employees Provident Fund Organisation in order to avail the benefits under the scheme such as Central Government's contribution and subsidy.
- Members or pensioners who are currently not enrolled for Aadhaar will have to make an application for Aadhaar enrolment by 31 January 2017. Till the time Aadhaar is assigned, benefits shall be given based on the alternate and viable means of identification.

[More...](#)

INDIA

**5
JAN**

2017

Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) (Maharashtra Amendment) Act, 2016 (the "CLRA Maharashtra Amendment Act**")**

The CLRA Maharashtra Amendment Act amended the Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970 (the "**CLRA Act**") and increased the threshold for applicability of the CLRA Act within the state of Maharashtra. The threshold has been increased from 20 or more contract workers to 50 or more contract workers. After the amendment, the provisions of the CLRA Act, including the requirement to obtain a registration or license will not apply to establishments in Maharashtra that engage less than 50 contract workers, or to contractors in Maharashtra who employ less than 50 contract workers.

[More...](#)

INDIA

**20
JAN**

2017

Employees' State Insurance (Central) (Amendment) Rules, 2016 (the "ESI Amendment Rules**") to extend maternity benefits under the ESI Act to adoption and surrogacy**

The ESI Amendment Rules modified the Employees' State Insurance Rules in the following
Con't...

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
Forward

LOOKING BACK

INDIA

20
JAN

2017

manner:

- Extends the period of maternity benefit from the existing 12 weeks (of which not more than 6 weeks shall be before the expected date of confinement) to 26 weeks (of which not more than 8 weeks shall be before the expected date of confinement). However, a woman having 2 or more surviving children would be entitled to 12 weeks of maternity benefit (of which not more than 6 weeks shall be before the expected date of confinement).
- Introduces maternity benefit for a period of up to 12 weeks for commissioning mothers and adopting mothers.

[More...](#)

INDIA

31
JAN

2017

Delhi Factories (Amendment) Rules, 2017 (the "Draft Delhi Factories Amendment Rules")

The Government of the National Capital Territory of Delhi has notified the Draft Delhi Factories Amendment Rules to amend Rule 5 and Rule 7 of the Delhi Factories Rules, 1950 (**Delhi Factories Rules**). Rule 5 provides for the Grant of License which includes provisions relating to the fees applicable and the periods for which the renewal license for a factory can be granted and Rule 7 provides for the renewal of license. The Draft Delhi Factories Amendment Rules seek to extend the maximum time period for the grant and renewal of the license from 5 years to 10 years, and update the provision relating to fees accordingly.

The Draft Delhi Factories Amendment Rules are published with a consultation period of 45 days from the date of publication i.e. 31 January 2016 and stakeholders can submit their objections or suggestions, for consideration by the government.

[More...](#)

INDIA

9
FEB

2017

Rationalisation of Forms and Reports under Certain Labour Laws Rules, 2017 (the "Draft Rationalisation of Forms Rules")

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified the Draft Rationalisation of Forms Rules, which provides for combined and simplified forms and reports required to be maintained for compliance under the following labour laws:

- Building and Other Construction Workers' (Regulation of Employment and Conditions of Service) Act, 1996;
- Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970;
- Inter-State Migrant Workmen (Regulation of Employment and Conditions of Service) Act, 1979;

Under these rules, the combined forms and reports can be maintained electronically or otherwise. The Schedule of the Draft Rationalisation of Forms Rules provides formats for 12 forms and reports, including for the licence/renewal of license application, Form of Certification by Principal Employer, Service Certificate, Certificate of Medical Examination and Employment Card.

The Draft Rationalisation of Forms Rules are published with a consultation period of 30 days from the date of publication i.e. 9 February 2016 and stakeholders can submit their objections or suggestions, for consideration by the government.

[More...](#)

INDIA

10
FEB

2017

Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Central (Amendment) Rules, 2017 (the "Draft SO Amendment Rules")

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified the Draft SO Amendment Rules, which seeks to amend the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Central Rules, 1946 (**SO Rules**). The Draft SO Amendment Rules propose to:

- Amend Rule 5 of the SO rules, in order to add an obligation to disclose the number of fixed term employment workmen in the made-up sector, in addition to the other prescribed particulars while submitting the draft standing orders to the Certifying

[Con't...](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
Forward

INDIA

10
FEB

2017

Officer as per Section 3 of the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946;

- Amend the Model Standing Orders set out in Schedule I, in order to:
- Add "fixed term employment workmen in the made-up sector" to the classification of workmen in paragraph 2A;
- Include the fixed term employment workmen in the made-up sector, within the provision for the "fixed term employment workmen in apparel manufacturing sector" in paragraph 2(b)(h). By this inclusion, a fixed term employment workmen in the made-up sector is a workman who has been engaged on the basis of contract of employment for a fixed period. However, his working hours, wages, allowances and other benefits shall not be less than that of a permanent workman. He shall also be eligible for all statutory benefits available to a permanent workman proportionately according to the period of service rendered by him even though his period of employment does not extend to the qualifying period of employment required in the statute.
- Add "fixed term employment workmen in the made-up sector" to the category of temporary workmen specified in paragraph 13, who would not be entitled to any notice or pay in lieu of notice if his services are terminated as a result of nonrenewal of contract or employment or on its expiry.

The Draft SO Amendment Rules are published with a consultation period of 30 days from the date of publication i.e., 10 February 2016 and stakeholders can submit their objections or suggestions, for consideration by the government.

[More...](#)

INDIA

16
FEB

2017

Payment of Wages (Amendment) Act, 2017 (the "Payment of Wages Amendment Act")

The Payment of Wages Amendment Act has amended section 6 of the Payment of Wages Act, 1936 (**PW Act**) so as to permit the crediting of wages to the bank account of the employees or payment through cheque in addition to the existing provisions of payment in current coin or currency notes. The amendment also provides that the appropriate government can notify specific industrial or other establishment, the employer of which has to pay the wages only through cheque or crediting to the bank account.

*(deemed to have come into force from 28 December 2016)

[More...](#)

INDIA

21
FEB

2017

Ease of Compliance to Maintain Registers under Various Labour Laws, 2017 (the "Ease of Compliance Rules")

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified the Ease of Compliance Rules, which provides for a combined register for ease of compliance with the requirements around maintaining registers under the following labour laws:

- Building and Other Construction Workers' (Regulation of Employment and Conditions of Service) Act, 1996;
- Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970;
- Equal Remuneration Act, 1976;
- Inter-State Migrant Workmen (Regulation of Employment and Conditions of Service) Act, 1979;
- Mines Act, 1952;
- Minimum Wages Act, 1948;
- Payment of Wages Act, 1936;
- Sales Promotion Employees (Conditions of Service) Act, 1976; and
- Working Journalists and Other Newspaper Employees (Conditions of Service) and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1955.

The combined register can be maintained electronically or otherwise. Schedule A of the Ease of Compliance Rules provides formats for employee register, wage register, loan recoveries register, attendance register and a register of rest/leave/leave wages.

[More...](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

LOOKING BACK

INDIA

7
MAR

2017

Contract Labour (Regulation & Abolition) Central (Amendment) Rules, 2017 (the **Draft CLRA Amendment Rules**)

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified the Draft CLRA Amendment Rules. The Draft CLRA Amendment Rules propose to make the following changes to the Contract Labour (Regulation & Abolition) Central Rules, 1971:

- Amend Rule 82, so as to provide for online filing of annual returns by the contractor and the principal employer in the Unified Annual Return in the new Form XXIV.
- Substitute the current "return to be sent by the contractor to the licensing officer" (Form XXIV) and the "annual return of the principal employer to be sent to the registering officer" (Form XIV) with the Unified Annual Return (Form XXIV).

The Draft CLRA Amendment Rules are published with a consultation period of 30 days from the date of publication i.e. 7 March 2016 and stakeholders can submit their objections or suggestions, for consideration by the government.

[More...](#)

INDIA

9
MAR

2017

Maternity Benefit (Amendment) Bill, 2016 (the "**MB Bill**")

The Lok Sabha passed the MB Amendment Bill on 9 March 2017. The MB Bill seeks to amend the Maternity Benefit Act, 1961 (the "MB Act"). The changes proposed by the MB Bill include the following:

- Increasing maternity leave from 12 to 26 weeks (of which not more than 6 weeks shall precede the expected date of delivery), provided that a woman with 2 or more surviving children is to be entitled to 12 weeks' maternity leave (of which not more than 6 weeks shall precede the expected date of her delivery).
- With respect to surrogacy, a commissioning mother (who uses her egg to create an embryo to be implanted in another woman) will receive 12 weeks' leave from the date when the child is transferred to her care.
- Paid adoption leave of 12 weeks from the date when the child is transferred to the adoptive mother's care, provided the child is less than 3 months old.
- Work from home benefits after the period of maternity leave, on such terms as may be agreed between the employer and the mother.
- Every establishment with 50 or more employees to provide crèche facilities within a prescribed distance. The mother will be allowed 4 visits to the crèche in a day, which will include her statutory interval for rest.

The MB Bill has already been passed by the Rajya Sabha and requires the President's assent in order to become a law.

[More...](#)

INDIA

9
MAR

2017

Inter-State Migrant Workmen (Regulation of Employment and Conditions of Service) Central (Amendment) Rules, 2015 (the "**Draft ISMW Amendment Rules**")

The Draft ISMW Amendment Rules propose to introduce the Unified Annual Return Form and modify the Inter-State Migrant Workmen (Regulation of Employment and Conditions of Service) Central Rules, 1980 to make a provision for uploading a Uniform Annual Return online, as well as manually, by the contractor and the principal employer in the new Form XXIII, on or before 1st day of February following the end of the year to which it relates. The Draft ISMW Amendment Rules are published for a consultation period of 30 days from the date of publication (9 March 2017).

[More...](#)

INDIA

15
MAR

2017

Revision of administrative charges under the Employees' Provident Fund Scheme, 1952

Under the Employees' Provident Funds Scheme, 1952, the Central Government consults the Central Board and fixes the percentage of administrative charges. The Ministry of Labour and Employment has fixed the administrative charges payable by the employer at 0.65 per

[Cont'd](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
required**Looking
Back****Looking
Forward**

INDIA

**15
MAR**

2017

cent of the pay, subject to a minimum sum of (a) INR 75 per month for every non-functional establishment having no contributory member, and (b) INR 500 per month per establishment for other establishments.

The notification is in effect from 1 April 2017. Administrative charges up to 31 March 2017 are as per the previous rates.

[More...](#)

INDIA

**15
MAR**

2017

Removal of administrative charges under the Employees Deposit-Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified that no administrative charges must be paid by the employer towards the Employees' Deposit Linked Scheme.

The notification is in effect from 1 April 2017. Administrative charges up to 31 March 2017 are as per the previous rates.

[More...](#)

INDIA

**16
MAR**

2017

The Draft Labour Code on Social Security and Welfare (the "Draft Code on Social Security")

The Ministry and Labour and Employment has published the Draft Code on Social Security. The Draft Code on Social Security proposes to combine a total of 15 labour laws relating to social security including, the following:

- Employees' Provident Fund and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952;
- Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948;
- Maternity Benefit Act, 1961;
- Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972;
- Employees Compensation Act, 1923;
- Unorganised Workers' Social Security Act, 2008.

The Draft of the Code on Social Security is published with a consultation period up to 16 May 2017.

[More...](#)

INDIA

**17
MAR**

2017

The Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Central Amendment Rules, 2017 (the "Draft CLRA Payment Amendment Rules")

The Draft CLRA Payment Amendment Rules propose to amend the Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Central Rules, 1971 to permit payment of wages to employees' bank accounts and by cheque in addition to the existing provision for payment in current coin or currency notes. The Draft CLRA Payment Amendment Rules also provide that the appropriate government can notify that the employer of certain establishments must pay wages only through cheque or credit to bank account. The Draft CLRA Payment Amendment Rules have been published for a consultation period of 30 days from the date of publication (17 March 2017) and stakeholders can submit their objections or suggestions, for consideration by the government during this period.

[More...](#)

INDIA

**23
MAR**

2017

Exemption under the Tamil Nadu Shops and Establishments Act, 1947 ("TN S&E Act")

Section 6 of the TN S&E Act empowers the state government to exempt establishments from any provisions of the TN S&E Act. In exercise of these powers, the government has exempted all shops and establishments from the requirement to remain entirely closed on one day of the week, for a period of three years from 23 March 2017. This means that the shops and establishments in Tamil Nadu can now remain open on all 365 days of a year subject to certain prescribed conditions. The conditions to be fulfilled for availing this exemption include:

- Every employee should get one holiday in a week;
- Wages (including overtime wages) must be credited to the employees' savings bank accounts;

[Cont'd](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
Forward

INDIA

23
MAR

2017

- An employer shall not require or allow any person employed to work for more than eight hours in a day and 48 hours in a week, and the period of work including overtime shall not exceed 10 hours in a day and 54 hours in a week.
- Women employees cannot work beyond 8 pm on any day in normal circumstances, and transport arrangements must be provided to women employees who work in shifts. An employer employing woman employees must constitute an Internal Complaints Committee under the Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace (Prevention, Prohibition and Redressal) Act, 2013.
- The employees shall be provided with restroom, wash room, safety lockers and other basic amenities.

[More...](#)

Payment of provident fund and withdrawal benefit under pension scheme to International Workers ("IW") on the date of leaving service ("**Circular on Payments to IWs**").

The Employee's Provident Fund Organisation ("EPFO") had previously issued instructions to the effect that provident fund and the pension payments to members would be made on the date on retirement. To facilitate such payments to International Workers on the date of leaving service in India, the EPFO has issued the Circular on Payments to IWs. The Circular on Payments to IWs sets out the following instructions:

- The employer should be requested to make payment of contribution of the retiring IW within first three days of the month in which the said member is retiring.
- The employer should submit the claim forms in respect of such IWs complete in all respects to the concerned provident fund office by the 5th of the month in which such member is leaving service.
- The concerned authorities shall ensure settlement of such retirement claims and credit of the settlement amount to the member's bank account maintained in India on the date of the member leaving service in India.
- If the IW wants to get interest on the settlement amount for the month of retirement as well, the settlement amount should be credited to the member's account on the first day of the next month.

[More...](#)

Rationalisation of Forms and Reports under Certain Labour Laws Rules, 2017 (the "**Rationalisation of Forms Rules**")

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified the Rationalisation of Forms Rules, which provide for combined and simplified forms and reports required to be maintained, for compliance under the Central rules under the following labour laws:

- Building and Other Construction Workers' (Regulation of Employment and Conditions of Service) Act, 1996;
- Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970;
- Inter-State Migrant Workmen (Regulation of Employment and Conditions of Service) Act, 1979;

Under these rules, the combined forms and reports can be maintained electronically or otherwise. The Schedule of the Rationalisation of Forms Rules provides formats for 12 forms and reports, including for the licence/renewal of license application, certification by principal employer, service certificate, certificate of medical examination and employment card.

[More...](#)

INDIA

28
MAR

2017

Employees' Provident Funds (Seventh Amendment) Scheme, 2017; and Employees' Provident Funds (Third Amendment) Scheme, 2017

Through the Employees' Provident Fund (**EPF**) Seventh Amendment Scheme, 2016 paragraph 82A has been inserted in the EPF Scheme. Paragraph 82A makes a special

[Cont'd](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
Forward

provision for the Employee's Enrolment Campaign, 2017, which was originally supposed to be in force between 1 January 2017 and 31 March 2017. By way of the Employees' Provident Fund (Seventh Amendment) Scheme, 2017, the last date has been extended from 31 March 2017 to 30 June 2017. The important points are as follows:

- Every employer who has failed to comply with the provisions of the EPF Scheme in relation to membership of employees and contributions thereto to the EPF Scheme shall furnish a declaration in the prescribed form ("Declaration") to the Regional Provident Fund Commissioner ("RPFC") in respect of membership of the employees who were required or entitled to become members of the EPF Scheme in the period beginning 1 April 2009 and ending 31 December 2016 but were not enrolled as members for any reason. Within 15 days from making such Declaration, the employer shall remit the employer's contribution payable in accordance with the provisions of the EPF Scheme and the employee's contribution (where deducted from the employee's wages) along with interest payable. The employer shall file a return of compliance with this provision to the RPFC.
- If the employer fails to remit the contribution, interest and damages payable by him within the 15-day window, the Declaration sent by the employer shall be deemed to have not been made under the EPF Seventh Amendment Scheme.
- Where a Declaration contains misrepresentations or omits facts, it shall be void and shall be deemed to have not been made under this EPF Seventh Amendment Scheme and the person making such Declaration shall be liable to punishment.
- A proviso has been inserted, which provides for the waiver of the employee's contribution under the Employees' Enrolment Campaign, 2017 between 1 April 2009 and 31 December 2016.
- For any period of default between 1 April 2009 and 31 December 2016 for any remittances in respect of valid declarations under the Employees' Enrolment Campaign, 2017, the rate of damages will be one rupee per annum.
- The administrative charges payable under the Employees' Enrolment Campaign, 2017 for the period of 1 April 2009 to 31 December 2016 will be nil.

[More...](#)

INDIA

29
MAR

2017

Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance (Second Amendment) Scheme, 2017

Through the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance (Second Amendment) Scheme, 2016 paragraph 28A has been inserted in the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme, 1976. The paragraph specifies the rate of damages to be recovered for default in payment of any contribution in relation to employees who have become members under the Employees' Enrolment Campaign, 2017 and to whom the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme applies. The rate of damages specified is one rupee per annum. The Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance (Second Amendment) Scheme, 2016 was originally in effect from 1 January 2017 to 31 March 2017. By way of the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance (Second Amendment) Scheme, 2017, the last date has been extended from 31 March 2017 to 30 June 2017.

[More...](#)

INDIA

29
MAR

2017

Employees' Pension (Seventh Amendment) Scheme, 2017

Through the Employee's Pension (Seventh Amendment) Scheme, 2016 paragraph 43B was inserted in the Employees' Pension Scheme, 1995. The paragraph specifies the rate of damages to be recovered for default in payment of any contribution in relation to employees who have become members under the Employees' Enrolment Campaign, 2017 and to whom the Employees' Deposit Linked Insurance Scheme applies. The rate of damages specified is one rupee per annum. The Employees' Pension (Seventh Amendment) Scheme, 2016 was originally in effect from 1 January 2017 to 31 March 2017. By way of the Employees' Pension (Seventh Amendment) Scheme, 2017, the last date has been extended from 31 March 2017 to 30 June 2017.

[More...](#)

INDIA

29
MAR

2017

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
Forward**The Maternity Benefit (Amendment) Act, 2017 (the "MB Amendment Act")**

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified the dates on which the MB Amendment Act would come into effect. The MB Amendment Act will be in effect from 1 April, 2017 except crèche provision which is in effect from 1 July 2017. The MB Amendment Act amends the Maternity Benefit Act, 1961 (the "MB Act"). The changes made by the MB Amendment Act include the following:

- Increasing maternity leave from 12 to 26 weeks (with not more than 8 weeks preceding the expected delivery date), except for a woman with 2 or more surviving children - who is entitled to 12 weeks' maternity leave (with not more than 6 weeks preceding the expected delivery date).
- Introduction of paid surrogacy leave for a commissioning mother (who uses her egg to create an embryo to be implanted in another woman) for a period of 12 weeks from the date when the child is handed over to her.
- Introduction of paid adoption leave of 12 weeks from the date when the child is handed over to the adoptive mother, provided the child is less than 3 months old at the time of adoption.
- Provision for work from home arrangements after the period of maternity leave, on such terms as may be agreed between the employer and the woman.
- Every establishment with 50 or more employees must have a crèche facility within a prescribed distance. The mother will be allowed 4 visits to the crèche in a day, which will include her statutory interval for rest. (The provision relating to crèche facilities will come into effect from 1 July 2017.)
- Employers are required to inform every newly appointed woman of the benefits available under the MB Act (in writing and electronically).

[More...](#)**Draft notification to amend the Schedule to the Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation), 1986 (the "Draft Child Labour Amendment Schedule")**

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified the Draft Child Labour Amendment Schedule, which proposes to bring about the following changes in the schedule to the Child and Adolescent Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986:

- Set out the hazardous occupation and processes in which adolescents are prohibited to work and children are prohibited to help.
- Provide the list of occupations and processes where children are prohibited from helping family or family enterprises.

The Draft Child Labour Amendment Schedule has been published for a consultation period of 3 months from the date of publication (31 March 2017).

[More...](#)**Apprenticeship (Third Amendment) Rules, 2017 ("Apprenticeship Amendment Rules")**

The Ministry of Skill Development and Entrepreneurship Labour and Employment has amended the Apprenticeship Rules, 1992. Rule 7A(2) of the Apprenticeship Rules, 1992 prescribes the minimum educational qualification required for a person to undergo apprenticeship training in operational trade. The Apprenticeship Amendment Rules reduce this requirement from "eight class pass" to "fifth class pass".

[More...](#)**Karnataka Labour Welfare Fund (Amendment) Act, 2017 ("Karnataka LWF Amendment Act")**

Section 7A of the Karnataka Labour Welfare Fund Act, 1965 has been amended by the Karnataka LWF Amendment Act. Pursuant to the Amendment, the employee contribution

[Cont'd](#)

INDIA

31
MAR

2017

INDIA

31
MAR

2017

INDIA

5
APR

2017

INDIA

6
APR

2017

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
required**Looking
Back****Looking
Forward**

INDIA

**6
APR**

2017

has increased from INR 6 to INR 20, the employer contribution has increased from INR 12 to INR 40 and the contribution by the State Government has increased from INR 6 to INR 20.

[More...](#)

INDIA

**11
APR**

2017

Notice to employers regarding approval of extension of Employees State Insurance Scheme to Promote Registration of Employers/Employees (SPREE).

The Employees State Insurance Corporation has announced the SPREE on 20th December 2016, to encourage registration of establishments, factories and employees coverable under the Employees State Insurance Act (**ESI Act**) – an establishment that obtains an ESI Act registration under the SPREE will be treated as being covered from the date declared/ date of registration. As a result, it would be able to avoid penalties for any past period of liability (potential penalties range from fines to imprisonment for up to one year), unless the ESI authorities have already initiated proceedings prior to 20 December 2016 for irregularities/non-compliance under the ESI Act.

The SPREE was originally meant to be open from 20 December 2016 to 31 March 2017. By a notice dated 11 April 2017, the last date has been extended from 31 March 2017 to 30 June 2017. The features of SPREE include:

- Employers registered during this period will be treated as covered from the date of registration or as declared by them.
- Newly registered employees will be treated as covered from the date of their registration.

[More...](#)

INDIA

**20
APR**

2017

Draft Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Amendment Rules, 2017 (Draft Child Labour Amendment Rules)

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified the Draft Child Labour Amendment Rules. The changes proposed by the Draft Child Labour Amendment Rules include the following:

- Conditions subject to which a child may help his family in his family enterprise, such as the maximum working hours, non-interference with education, etc.
- Conditions subject to which a child may be allowed to work as an artist, such as the maximum working hours, ensuring appropriate facilities for education, etc.
- The maximum working hours for an adolescent.
- Details of persons who may file a complaint under the Child and Adolescent Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986.

The Draft Child Labour Amendment Rules are published for a consultation period of 30 days from the date of publication (20 April 2017).

[More...](#)

INDIA

**25
APR**

2017

The Employees' Provident Fund (Fifth Amendment) Scheme, 2017

The Employees' Provident Fund (Fifth Amendment) Scheme, 2017 amends Paragraph 68J and 68N of the EPF Scheme. Paragraph 68J provides for allowing a member to take a non-refundable advance from the EPF for illness in cases of (a) hospitalisation for at least a month, (b) major surgical operation, or (c) in cases where the member or his family is suffering from tuberculosis, leprosy, paralysis, cancer and heart ailments. Previously the paragraph provided that the advance shall be granted only if (a) the employer certifies that the Employees' State Insurance Scheme facility is not provided to the member, and (b) a doctor certifies that any of the three situations mentioned above have been satisfied. This requirement is now done away with and the advance can be taken upon self-declaration from the member.

Paragraph 68N provides for allowing a physically handicapped member to take a non-refundable advance from the EPF for purchasing equipment required to minimise the

[Cont'd](#)

LOOKING BACK

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
Forward

INDIA

**25
APR**

2017

hardship on account of his/her handicap. Previously, this advance could be withdrawn only after providing a doctor's certificate which states that the member is physically handicapped. The advance can now be taken upon self-declaration by the member.

[More...](#)

INDIA

**26
APR**

2017

Industries notified as per section 6 of the Payment of Wages Act, 1936

Section 6 of the Payment of Wages Act, 1936 provides that the government can notify specific establishments or industries for which the payment of wages have to be made through cheque or bank transfer. In exercise of these powers, the Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified the following establishments:

1. Railway;
2. Air transport services;
3. Mines;
4. Oil fields.

After the notification, the employees in these establishments can only be paid through cheque or bank transfer.

[More...](#)

INDIA

**12
MAY**

2017

The Employee's Compensation (Amendment) Act, 2017 (the "EC Amendment Act")

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified 15 May, 2017 as the day on which the EC Amendment Act will come into force. The EC Amendment Act amends the Employee's Compensation Act, 1923 (the "EC Act"). The changes made by the EC Amendment Act are as follows:

- Introducing a requirement for the employer to inform the employee of his/her right to compensation under the EC Act in writing (in English, Hindi or the relevant official language) at the time of employing the individual. Failure to do so would attract a penalty between INR 50,000 and INR 100,000.
- Increasing the threshold of disputed amount for filing an appeal from INR 300 to INR 10,000, and empowering the Central Government to increase this threshold further.
- Deleting the provision in the EC Act which stated that if an employer has appealed against an order by the commissioner under the EC Act, any payments to the employee can be temporarily withheld.

[More...](#)

INDIA

**18
MAY**

2017

Draft Maternity Benefit (Mines and Circus) Amendment Rules, 2017

The Ministry of Labour and Employment has notified the draft Maternity Benefit (Mines and Circus) Amendment Rules, 2017, which seeks to insert Rule 6A in the Maternity Benefit (Mines and Circus) Rules, 1963. The proposed rule provides that every establishment having 50 or more employees (in a mine or a circus) should have a crèche facility within 500 meters from the entrance of the establishment, either separately or along with the common facilities of the establishment.

The Draft Maternity Benefit (Mines and Circus) Amendment Rules, 2017 have been published for a consultation period of 30 days from the date of publication (18 May 2017).

[More...](#)

INDIA

**19
MAY**

2017

Delhi Factories (Amendment) Rules, 2017

The Delhi Factories (Amendment) Rules, 2017 amend the Delhi Factories Rules, 1950 ("Delhi Factories Rules") to increase the maximum period for the grant and renewal of a license under the Delhi Factories from 5 years to 10 years, and modify the fees payable accordingly.

[More...](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

INDIA

8
JUN

2017

Clarification on the definition of "International Worker" under the Employees' Provident Fund Scheme.

Paragraph 83(2) (ja) of the Employees' Provident Fund Scheme, 1952 defines an "international worker" as an Indian employee having worked or going to work in a foreign country with which India has entered into social security agreement and being eligible to avail the benefit under a social security programme of that country, by virtue of the eligibility gained or going to gain, under the said agreement. This definition had previously led to a confusion on whether an Indian employee who has travelled abroad and come back to India would be considered as an international worker. The Employees' Provident Fund Organisation has now issued a clarification that such an employee who has returned to India would not come under the definition of an international worker if he is not eligible to avail any benefit under the social security programme of the county that he travelled to.

[More...](#)

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
required**Looking
Back****Looking
Forward**

LOOKING BACK

Procedures for Labor Inspection

INDONESIA

**16
NOV**

2016

MOM Regulation No.33 of 2016 regarding Procedures for Labor Inspection was issued on November 16, 2016 (“MOM 33”).

Under MOM 33, the employer and the employee will understand the mechanism of Labor Inspection in the company. MOM 33 also provides sample of forms on planning, execution and reporting mechanism during labor inspection in the company by the Labor Inspector.

MOM 33 is the implementation of Article 22 (2) of Presidential Regulation No.21 of 2010 regarding Labor Inspection.

Ministry of Manpower Regulation regarding Health and Safety for Pressurized Equipment

INDONESIA

**27
DEC**

2016

MOM has issued Regulation No. 37 of 2016 dated December 27, 2016 regarding Health and Safety for Pressurized Equipment (“Reg.37”).

Under Reg.37 regulates the implementation of health and safety in the workplace from potential hazardous from Pressurized Equipment. Reg.37 also provides sample of application forms related to Health and Safety for Pressurized Equipment that will be used by the company and MOM.

Reg.No.37 revokes (i) the previous MOM Reg No.01/Men/1985 regarding the same; (ii) the Circular Letter of MOM No.SE.No.06/1990 regarding Coloration of Steel Bottle or Pressurized Equipment, and (iii) the Decision of Director General for Guidance and Supervision of Labor Inspector No.Kep/75/PPK/XII/2013 regarding Technical Guidance for Health and Safety Expert Candidate in the field of Steam, Production, Lifting and Transport Equipment specifically for Expert Candidate in the field of Steam, Production, Lifting and Transport Equipment.

Ministry of Manpower Regulation regarding Health and Safety for Power and Production Equipment

INDONESIA

**27
DEC**

2016

MOM has issued Regulation No. 38 of 2016 dated December 27, 2016 regarding Health and Safety for Power and Production Equipment (“Reg.38”).

Under Reg.38 regulates the implementation of health and safety in the workplace from potential hazardous of power and production equipment. Reg.38 provides sample of forms on Health and Safety for Power and Production Equipment related documents that will be used by the company and MOM.

Reg.No.38 revokes (i) the previous MOM Reg No.04/Men/1985 regarding the same; (ii) the Circular Letter of Director General for Guidance and Supervision of Labor Inspector No.SE.No.01/DJPKK/VI/2009 regarding Technical Guidance on Implementation of Guidance and Examination of Health and Safety License to Officers and Operators of Steam, Production, Lifting and Transport Equipment; and (iii) the Decision of Director General for Guidance and Supervision of Labor Inspector No.Kep/75/PPK/XII/2013 regarding Technical Guidance for Health and Safety Expert Candidate in the field of Steam, Production, Lifting and Transport Equipment specifically for Expert Candidate in the field of Steam, Production, Lifting and Transport Equipment .

Wage Structure Requirement for Companies

INDONESIA

**21
MAY**

2017

Ministry of Manpower Regulation No. 1 of 2017, dated March 21, 2017, regarding Wage Structure and Scale (“Regulation 1”) implements Article 92(3) of Law No. 13 of 2003 regarding Manpower and Article 14(5) of Government Regulation No. 78 of 2015 regarding Payment of Wages, which require companies to formulate and implement a wage structure and scale. A company’s wage structure and scale shall take into account employees’ work categories and positions, period of service, education and skills.

Regulation 1 revokes Minister of Manpower and Transmigration Decree No. KEP.49/MEN/IV/2004 regarding the Provision of Wage Structure and Scale.

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

▲
LOOKING BACK
▲

INDONESIA

31
MAY

2017

Payment of Religious Holiday Allowances

Ministry of Manpower Circular Letter No. 3 of 2017, dated May 31, 2017, regarding the Payment of Religious Holiday Allowances for 2017 provides governors, regents and mayors across Indonesia a summary of the applicable rules for the proper payment of such allowances to employees in 2017.

INDONESIA

19
JUN

2017

Guidelines for Joint Leave in the Private Sector

The Ministry of Manpower has issued Decree No. 184 of 2017, dated June 19, 2017, regarding Guidelines for the Implementation of Joint Leave in the Private Sector. This decree provides guidance for private sector employers and employees with regard to the taking of joint leave days on selected dates around the Idul Fitri and Christmas holidays in 2017.

CONTRIBUTED BY:

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

LOOKING BACK

JAPAN

1
JAN

2017

Amendments Regarding Child Care Leave and Family Care Leave

Amendments to the Employment Insurance Act and other relevant acts were enacted on 29 March 2016. The purpose of these amendments is to enable employees to achieve a balance between their work and personal life (i.e., their need for childcare and family care) and to realize the “Promoting Dynamic Engagement of All Citizens” policy, which is one of the policy goals of Shinzo Abe Cabinet.

In this regard, the following amendments regarding child care Leave and family care leave have become effective from 1 January 2017:

- To enable employees to take family care leave separately on multiple occasions (up to 3 times and 93 days in total);
- Besides taking family care leave, to enable employees to apply for shorter working hours more than 2 times during a three-year period in order to care for their family members;
- To enable employees to be exempted from overtime work in order to care for their family members;
- To enable employees to take childcare leave and family care leave in a half-day unit;
- To broaden the scope of children for whom employees can take childcare leave;
- To broaden the scope of fixed-term employees who are eligible to take childcare leave; and
- To require employers to take necessary measures to prevent employees from harassing or bullying their colleagues on account of their pregnancy, delivery or taking childcare leave or family care leave.

[More...](#)

JAPAN

31
MAR

2017

Amendments regarding Child Care Leave and Family Care Leave

Amendments to the Child Care Leave and Family Care Leave and other relevant acts were enacted on 31 March 2017.

The following amendments regarding child care leave will become effective from 1 October 2017:

- An employee may take childcare leave until their child reaches the age of 2 years old if the employee is willing to have their child entered a nursery but is not able to do so;
- employers are encouraged to proactively inform their child care leave system to employees who or whose spouse have become pregnant or have a new born baby; and
- employers are encouraged to establish a new leave system which supports employees who raise a child of preschool age.

JAPAN

20
MAY

2017

Amendments to Personal Information Protection Act

The long awaited amendments to the Personal Information Protection Act of Japan (“PIPA”) will become fully effective on 30 May this year (the “Amendment”). PIPA is the primary privacy regulation in Japan, and regulates the collection, use and protection of personal information. It imposes obligations on information handlers, whether acting in their capacity as seller, supplier or employer. The Amendment generally expands the application in terms of both subject matter and geographical reach.

The Amendment will expand the scope of “Personal Information” regulated under PIPA, notably, to include information containing an individual identification code, for example passport numbers, and fingerprint and face recognition data. The Amendment also introduces the concept of Personal Information requiring Special Consideration (*yohairyo-kojinjoho*), which must be treated with special care. Personal Information requiring Special Consideration includes race, criminal records, medical history and any other information that may cause social discrimination. The Amendment also introduces the concept of “de-identified information” (*tokumei-kako-joho*), where anonymised information that is irreversibly processed as to prevent the identification of a specific individual is subject to more lenient regulations.

[Con'd't](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

JAPAN

**20
MAY**

2017

The current PIPA does not generally apply to entities outside Japan. The Amendment will expand the reach of PIPA to apply to foreign entities providing goods of services to people in Japan. Further, the current PIPA does not place any restrictions on the extraterritorial transfer of personal information. The Amendment will introduce additional protection obligations on information handlers transferring personal information to third parties overseas. The additional requirements for extraterritorial transfers will not apply where personal information is transferred to a place that has been certified by the Personal Information Protection Commission as having protection standards equivalent to those of Japan and where the foreign transferee has personal information protection standards which are equivalent to the standards specified by the Personal Information Protection Commission. There is currently no guidance on which countries are likely to fall within this category.

From 30 May this year, the Personal Information Protection Commission will oversee the enforcement of PIPA in addition to the individual identification number system for Japanese residents: the “My Number System”. The Commission also has the power to provide information to foreign enforcement authorities to assist enforcement.

More...

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

**NEW
ZEALAND**

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
Forward

LOOKING BACK

NEW
ZEALAND**4
NOV**

2016

New Zealand Basing Ltd v Brown [2016] NZCA 525, [2017] 2 NZLR 93

In *New Zealand Basing Ltd v Brown*, the Court of Appeal confirmed that overseas law (in this case Hong Kong) may apply to a New Zealand employment agreement. Mr Brown and Mr Sycamore were pilots employed by New Zealand Basing Ltd (a wholly owned Hong Kong subsidiary of Cathay Pacific). The employment agreement stipulated that the law of Hong Kong applied and there was a compulsory retirement age of 55 (which was lawful under Hong Kong law but unlawful under New Zealand law). Messrs Brown and Sycamore claimed that the agreement was governed by New Zealand law and so the compulsory retirement age was discriminatory under New Zealand's Employment Relations Act 2000 (ERA) and the Human Rights Act 1993. They alternatively argued the choice of Hong Kong law should not apply to the contract because of public policy grounds.

The Court of Appeal held that there is nothing in the ERA which would imply that its provisions would apply regardless of the parties' choice of law in their employment agreement. Accordingly, Hong Kong law was the proper law of the contract, so the ERA did not apply. The Court also held that there were no grounds for a public policy exception to the choice of law on the basis that the contract discriminated on the grounds of age. The Court considered that the right to be free from age discrimination was not absolute. The provision at issue would not "shock the conscience of a reasonable New Zealander's view of basic morality or violate an essential principle of justice or moral interests". The Court further held that there was no foundation for applying the public policy exception to defeat the private bargaining intentions of the parties, as the pilots had gained substantial financial benefits by choosing Hong Kong law.

The Supreme Court gave leave to appeal this decision in February 2017, and will hear the case in June 2017.

Court of Appeal Decision
Supreme Court Leave Decision

NEW
ZEALAND**24
NOV**

2016

Pay Equity Legislation

The Government announced it has plans to update the Equal Pay Act 1972 and the Employment Relations Act 2000 to implement the recommendations of the Joint Working Group on Pay Equity. The Government plans to introduce a Bill in 2017 that will make it easier for women to file pay equity claims with their employers and guide employers in responding to those claims. The government agreed to the recommendations with only one additional principle added.

The Joint Working Group was initiated following the Court of Appeal's decision in *Terranova Home Care Ltd v Service and Food Workers Union Nga Ringa Tota Inc* [2014] NZCA 516, [2015] 2 NZLR 437. In this case, the Court held that in female-dominated work, the Equal Pay Act 1972 required equal pay for work of equal value, not merely equal pay for the same work. This was a shift in how the Act had previously been understood. The Government responded to the decision by setting up the Joint Working Group to recommend principles for pay equity.

Government Announcement
Joint Working Group Recommendations

NEW
ZEALAND**8
MAR**

2017

Domestic Violence – Victims' Protection Bill

The Domestic Violence – Victims' Protection Bill was introduced to Parliament in December 2016 and had its first reading on 8 March 2017. The Bill seeks to enhance legal protections for domestic violence victims, specifically in relation to the workplace. The proposed Bill amends multiple Acts relating to employment:

Domestic Violence Act 1995

- Defines a "victim of domestic violence" for the purposes of other enactments. To qualify, a victim must be able to produce a "domestic violence document" because they have suffered domestic violence or provide care and support to particular victims of domestic violence.
- A "domestic violence document" includes a variety of police and criminal records relating to domestic violence, as well as reports from medical practitioners or support organisations.

Con't

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

**NEW
ZEALAND**

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
required**Looking
Back****Looking
Forward**

Employment Relations Act 2000

- Provides a statutory right for an employee to make a request to vary their working arrangements if they are a victim of domestic violence. Sets out employers' duties in relation to an employee's request.
- Allows an employer to refuse an employee's request for a variation on particular grounds. These grounds relate to the staffing needs, productivity of the employer's business and cost to the business. An employer is obliged to refuse a request for variation if the employee is bound by a collective agreement and the working arrangements would be inconsistent with the collective agreement.
- Sets out a dispute resolution process if the employer declines the employee's request.
- Prohibits being a victim of domestic violence as a ground for discrimination.

NEW
ZEALAND**8
MAR**

2017

Health and Safety at Work Act 2015

- Provides that person conducting a business or undertaking who manages or controls a workplace must have a policy for dealing with situations where a person's behavior stems from being either a victim or instigator of domestic violence, and is an actual or potential cause of harm to someone inside or outside a workplace.

Holidays Act 2003

- Allows an employee to take up to 10 days' leave if they are a victim of domestic violence, and they submit a request for leave according to the provides process

*Domestic Violence – Victims' Protection Bill**Progress of Domestic Violence – Victims' Protection Bill*

Draft Employment (Pay Equity and Equal Pay) Bill

The Ministry for Business Innovation and Employment released the Draft Employment (Pay Equity and Equal Pay) Bill ("the Draft Bill") on 20 April 2017. This was a commentary document for public consultation, which follows on from the Joint Working Group's recommendations on pay equity.

Seeking to "promote the enduring settlement of pay equity claims", the Draft Bill prohibits an employer from discriminating on the basis of gender and enables employees to make claims relating to gender discrimination. The Draft Bill sets out three possible claims – equal pay, unlawful discrimination on matters other than remuneration, and pay equity. A pay equity claim is defined as a situation where there is work predominantly performed by women and there are reasonable grounds to believe that the work has been historically undervalued and continues to be undervalued. The Draft Bill sets out a bargaining and dispute resolution process for these claims.

Submissions on the Draft Bill closed on 11 May 2017. Legislation is due to be released shortly.

[More...](#)NEW
ZEALAND**21
APR**

2017

Changes to Parental Leave

Changes to the Parental Leave and Employment Protection Act 1987 that came into force on 1 June 2017 mean that employees who want to receive parental leave payments can now use their paid leave (e.g. annual leave and alternative days) first. In that situation, their parental leave payment periods can start at the end of their paid leave periods, even if they are later than the child's arrival or due date. Before 1 June 2017, the parental leave payment period could not start later than the child's arrival.

A further change is that a parent with a preterm baby now has more flexibility around returning to work and parental leave payments. If a person has a preterm baby, they can now return to work after the birth of the preterm baby and not lose the right to any remaining parental leave payment if they later go back on parental leave again (as long as they go back on parental leave before the original expected date of birth, if the baby had not been born prematurely).

[More...](#)NEW
ZEALAND**1
JUN**

2017

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND**PHILIPPINES**

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
required**Looking
Back****Looking
Forward**

PHILIPPINES

**19
DEC**

2016

Department of Labor and Employment (DOLE) Department Order (DO) No. 167-16 -- Implementing Rules and Regulations of Republic Act (RA) No. 10757 entitled "An Act Reducing the Retirement Age of Surface Mine Workers from Sixty (60) to fifty (50) years"

Mandates the payment of retirement benefits to surface mines workers who retire upon reaching 50 years of age with at least five (5) years of service

[More...](#)

PHILIPPINES

**16
JAN**

2017

DO No. 149 A -17 – Guidelines In Assessing and Determining Hazardous Work in the Employment of Persons Below 18 Years of Age

Prohibiting the employment of persons below 18 years of age in slaughter houses and abattoirs, among others.

[More...](#)

PHILIPPINES

**2
FEB**

2017

DO No. 169-17 -- Implementing Rules and Regulations of RA No. 10789, otherwise known as "The Racehorse Jockeys Retirement Act"

Providing retirement benefits to racehorse jockeys upon reaching the mandatory retirement age of fifty (50) years provided he/she has served for at least five (5) years as racehorse jockey.

[More...](#)

PHILIPPINES

**2
FEB**

2017

DO No. 170-17 – Implementing Rules and Regulations of RA No. 10911 entitled "Anti-Age Discrimination in Employment Act"

Prohibits, among others, publishers to print or publish any advertisement relating to employment suggesting preferences, limitations, specifications, and discrimination based on age.

[More...](#)

PHILIPPINES

**6
FEB**

2017

Labor Advisory (LA) No. 02-17 – Right to Self-Organization Relative to the Implementation of the K-12 Program

Recognizing the right to self-organization of all personnel in the Higher Educational Institution during the transition period to the implementation of the K-12 Program

[More...](#)

PHILIPPINES

**4
APR**

2017

Philippines Overseas Employment Administration (POEA) Memorandum Circular No. 03, Series of 2017

Revising Section 2, Rule I, Part II of the 2016 POEA Rules and Regulations Governing the Recruitment and Employment of Land-based Overseas Filipino Workers/Seafarers by requiring All Land-based and Sea-based Recruitment Agencies to increase their Capitalization/Paid-In Capital to Five Million Pesos (PhP5,000,000.00) within four (4) years from the effectivity of their license at the rate of Seven Hundred Fifty Thousand Pesos (PhP750,000.00) every year.

[More...](#)

PHILIPPINES

**23
MAY**

2017

Department of Labor and Employment (DOLE) Labor Advisory No. 06, Series of 2017

Guidelines issued by the DOLE to encourage voluntary regularization of contractual/contractors' employees and to ensure the right of employees to labor standards benefits, self-organization and security of tenure.

[More...](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND**PHILIPPINES**

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

PHILIPPINES

13
JUN

2017

DOLE Department Circular No. 01, Series of 2017

The Circular clarifies the non-applicability of DOLE Department Order No.174, Series of 2017 to information technology-enabled services involving an entire specific business such as: Business Process Outsourcing; Knowledge Process Outsourcing; Legal Process Outsourcing; IT Infrastructure Outsourcing; Application Development; Hardware and/or Software Support; Medical Transcription; Animation Services and Back Office Operations/ Support. The Circular likewise exempt from the coverage of DO 174 contracting and subcontracting arrangements in the construction industry and with private security agencies. *(DO 174 [<https://www.dole.gov.ph/issuances/view367>] governs trilateral relationship which characterizes contracting or subcontracting arrangements. It provides for the requirements of permissible job contracting and prohibits the practice of labor-only contracting.)*

[More...](#)

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORESOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
required**Looking
Back****Looking
Forward**

SINGAPORE

**9
JAN**

2017

Amendments to Retirement and Re-employment Act Passed

The amendments to the Retirement and Re-employment Act were passed by Parliament on 9 January 2017. With this, with effect from 1 July 2017, the re-employment age will be raised from 65 to 67, i.e. companies will be required to offer re-employment to employees until they reach 67 if the statutory conditions are met. If companies are unable to do so, they will need to provide an Employment Assistance Payment to the employees.

In addition to raising to re-employment age, the amendments also removes the option provided to companies to cut the wages of employees who reach 60 years of age.

[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**28
FEB**

2017

SMRT Trains Ltd Convicted for Workplace Safety Lapses

SMRT Trains Ltd, one of the major public transport service providers in Singapore, was fined S\$400,000 on 28 February 2017 after it pleaded guilty to a charge of failing to take measures as are necessary to ensure the safety and health of his employees at work. This was the highest fine ever imposed under the Workplace Safety and Health Act, and arose out of an incident in March 2016 where 2 maintenance workers were killed after being struck by an oncoming train while they were investigating an incident on the tracks. In the press release, the courts found that there had been a long history of deviations from the approved operating procedures by staff members of SMRT Trains Ltd and more importantly, SMRT Trains Ltd had failed to ensure compliance with such procedures over a long period of time.

[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**6
MAR**

2017

New Employment Initiatives for 2017

The Committee of Supply speeches for the Ministry of Manpower (“**MOM**”), which highlighted the key initiatives of the MOM in 2017, were made in Parliament on 6 March 2017. This comes at a time of global economic uncertainty and as the employment landscape in Singapore is in a state of transition. The key points to note from the speeches are that the MOM will continue to ensure that the Singaporean Core is being developed while ensuring that there remains a mix of foreign workers, the increment in minimum wage for employment of mid-skilled and low-skilled foreign workers, and the measures put in place to encourage mid-level career conversions and to assist the long term unemployed.

In addition to the above, the MOM also announced that it will soon form a Tripartite Workgroup for Freelancers given the increasing number of freelancers in Singapore. This is an area to note moving forward.

[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**15
MAR**

2017

Construction Company Charged for Housing Offences

On 15 March 2017, the Ministry of Manpower (“**MOM**”) announced that a construction company has been charged in the State Courts for failing to provide hygienic conditions in accommodation for its foreign workers and for abetting 9 other companies to house their foreign workers in the same unhygienic accommodation. If convicted, the construction company faces a fine of S\$10,000 and/or up to 12 months imprisonment for each offence. More importantly, the MOM has taken to opportunity to emphasise that it is a regulatory condition that all employers must house their foreign workers in acceptable housing conditions.

[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**1
APR**

2017

Administrative Penalties Framework for Less Severe Breaches of the Employment Act (Cap. 91)

From 1 April 2017, the Ministry of Manpower (“**MOM**”) set up a new framework which treats less severe breaches of the Employment Act (“**EA**”) as civil contraventions which attract administrative penalties. Such breaches include:

1. Failure to make or keep complete and accurate employee records;
2. Failure to provide complete and accurate key employment terms (“**KETs**”) in writing to employees;
3. Failure to issue itemised pay slips to employees on time; and

[Cont'd...](#)

LOOKING BACK

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORESOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
required**Looking
Back****Looking
Forward**

SINGAPORE

**1
APR**

2017

4. Providing inaccurate information to the Commissioner for Labour or inspecting officers without the intent to defraud and mislead.

Employers issued with an administrative penalty for failing to provide written KETs and payment records to employees can apply for an internal reconsideration by the MOM within 14 days from receiving the contravention notice.

[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**1
APR**

2017

Employment Claims Act 2016 (No. 21 of 2016)

On 1 April 2017, the Employment Claims Tribunals (“**ECT**”) and the Tripartite Alliance for Dispute Management (“**TADM**”), which were established under the Employment Claims Act 2016 (No. 21 of 2016) commenced operations. The ECT will replace the Labour Court in adjudicating statutory and contractual salary-related claims, while the TADM will provide advisory and mediation services.

[More...](#)[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**1
APR**

2017

Employment (Prescribed Disputes) Regulations 2017

From 1 April 2017, the following disputes are considered prescribed disputes for the purposes of s 115(1A)(a) of the Employment Act (“**EA**”) (Cap. 91) (which gives the Commissioner the power to inquire into and decide the types of disputes prescribed under regulations):

1. Any dispute or disagreement mentioned in section 18A(9) of the Act between the transferor of an undertaking and an employee, or between the transferee of an undertaking and an employee, arising from a transfer of the undertaking under section 18A(1) of the Act;
2. Any dispute mentioned in section 54(1)(a) of the Industrial Relations Act (Cap. 136) between an employee and an employer bound by an award as to the employee’s entitlement to any payment by way of wages or otherwise in accordance with the award;
3. Any dispute arising from a complaint under section 54(6) of the Industrial Relations Act that an order made under section 54(1) of that Act has not been complied with;
4. Any dispute arising from a complaint under section 54(7)(a) of the Industrial Relations Act that a trade union or person bound by an award has committed a breach or non observance of any term of the award;
5. Any dispute mentioned in section 54(7)(c) of the Industrial Relations Act as to any matter for which provision is made by an award.

Where a dispute falls within any of the above category, the Commissioner has the power to inquire into and decide the dispute pursuant to Section 115 of the EA.

[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**4
APR**

2017

Failure to Pay Salaries to Employees

On 4 April 2017, the Ministry of Manpower (“**MOM**”) released a list of employers charged for failing to pay salaries to their employees. The charges included non-payment of salary, including additional salary for work done on holidays, and failure to upon dismissal or termination due to breach of contract by the employer. Payment of salary should be made within 7 days after the last day of the employee’s salary period. Repeat offenders may face hefty fines and longer imprisonment terms.

[More...](#)[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**6
APR**

2017

False Declarations and Forgery of Documents for Work Pass Applications

In April 2017, the Ministry of Manpower (“**MOM**”) charged 4 work pass holders for submitting forged academic certificates in their applications to obtain or renew the work pass. Those who pleaded guilty were each sentenced to 10 weeks’ imprisonment. The Director of Employment Inspectorate at MOM’s Foreign Manpower Management Division warned that such forgery is a serious offence, and the foreigners involved will be

[Cont’d...](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORESOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
requiredLooking
BackLooking
Forward

SINGAPORE

**6
APR**

2017

prosecuted and permanently barred from working in Singapore. In the last 2 years, 73 foreigners have been so barred.

It should be noted that MOM verifies educational certificates through various means, such as using an internal education database system, engaging specialised third-party screening agencies, and checking directly with the issuing educational institution. MOM reminds that the onus is on the employers to check the authenticity of the work pass holders' academic qualifications, and that employers or employment agencies abetting forgeries will be severely dealt with.

[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**11
APR**

2017

Employment Agencies Without Valid Licenses

In April 2017, the Ministry of Manpower (“**MOM**”) charged a woman under the Employment Agencies Act for running an employment agency (“**EA**”) without a valid licence. Engaging the services of an unlicensed EA is also an offence. Hence, MOM advises employers to first verify that the EA they wish to engage has a valid licence, by using the online EA directory at www.mom.gov.sg/eadirectory.

[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**2
MAY**

2017

Workplace Safety And Health (Major Hazard Installations) Regulations 2017

On 2 May 2017, the Minister for Manpower issued the Workplace Safety And Health (Major Hazard Installations) Regulations 2017 (“**the Regulations**”). Under the Regulations, with effect from 1 September 2017, major hazard installations (“**MHIs**”), which include petroleum refining facilities, petrochemical manufacturing facilities, chemical processing plants and installations where large quantities of toxic and flammable substances are stored or used, need to be registered and need to submit a Safety Case (i.e. a structured set of documents that focuses on how major accidents are prevented at MHIs, and how the consequences to people and the vicinity are limited) to the Commissioner for Workplace Safety and Health.

[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**19
MAY**

2017

Ensuring Safety at the Workplace

In May 2017, the Ministry of Manpower (“**MOM**”) imposed fines of \$270,000 on a subcontractor and \$40,000 on its managing director, for failing to take, so far as is reasonably practicable, measures necessary to ensure the safety and health of employees at work. This is in contravention of Section 12(1) of the Workplace Safety and Health Act (Cap. 354A) (“**WSHA**”). The following were identified as specific failures by the subcontractor:-

1. Having untrained workers perform formwork dismantling;
2. Not disseminating risk assessment (“**RA**”) and safe work procedure (“**SWP**”) to those carrying out relevant works;
3. Not having RA and SWP for catch platform dismantling at height (31st storey);
4. Not properly coordinating works and ensuring installation of safety netting;
5. Not addressing risk of falling from height;

It should be noted that officers of a corporation are open to personal liability if they do not exercise all diligence to prevent safety failures, and that MOM has expressed intention to probe deeper into the role of senior management in ensuring compliance with the WSHA.

[More...](#)[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**31
MAY**

2017

Government Accepts National Wage Council’s Recommendations

On 31 May 2017, the Government accepted the National Wage Council’s (“**NWC**”) recommendations as set out in the NWC Wage Guidelines for 2017/2018, which relate to the following areas:

1. Deepen skills and transform jobs to enhance productivity and stay future-ready;
2. Wage recommendations for low-wage workers;
3. Low-wage workers in outsourced work;

[Cont'd](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORESOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
required**Looking
Back****Looking
Forward**

SINGAPORE

**31
MAY**

2017

4. Progressive Wage Model;
5. Re-employment of older workers; and
6. Responsible retrenchment.

The NWC Guidelines cover the period from 1 July 2017 to 30 June 2018, and the aforesaid recommendations are applicable to all employees, including management, executives, professionals and rank-and-file employees, unionised and non-unionised companies in both public and private sectors.

[More...](#)[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**1
JUN**

2017

Illegal Employment of Foreign Workers Without Valid Work Passes

In June 2016, the Ministry of Manpower (“**MOM**”) charged a night market operator for hiring 19 foreigners without valid work passes as stall assistants, in contravention of the Employment of Foreign Manpower Act (“**EFMA**”). In a separate case, a former director and a current director of a logistics company were also convicted for conspiring to hire 10 foreign workers without valid work passes. Each were fined (in lieu of imprisonment) and banned from employing foreign workers.

MOM advises strict compliance with the EFMA, and it takes a tough stance against employment of foreign workers without valid work passes. Abetment to commit the offence will also invite criminal liability under the EFMA. Earlier in March 2017, the manager of a company was convicted for abetting a sole proprietor to employ foreign workers without valid work passes, and before the issue of their employment passes.

[More...](#)[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**5
JUN**

2017

S\$635.1 Million in CPF Arrears Recovered by the CPF Board in 2016

The Central Provident Fund Board (“**CPF Board**”) announced that it has recovered about S\$635.1 million in CPF arrears from cases closed in 2016, benefitting over 380,000 employees. Out of the recovered CPF arrears, S\$19.7 million was recovered from cases of underpayment or non-payment from 1,608 employers, and among these cases, 32 employers voluntarily approached the CPF Board when they realised that they were not compliant with the CPF Act. The remaining S\$615.4 million recovered were late CPF contributions from an average of about 5,440 employers each month in 2016. Employers are reminded to ensure that CPF payments are paid promptly to avoid facing penal and administrative consequences.

[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**20
JUN**

2017

Failure to Purchase Work Injury Compensation Insurance

On 20 June 2017, the Ministry of Manpower (“**MOM**”) charged 2 employers for failing to purchase the compulsory work injury compensation insurance for 25 of their employees. It is mandatory to purchase work injury compensation insurance for manual workers and workers earning less than \$1,600 a month.

[More...](#)

SINGAPORE

**1
JUL**

2017

Increase of Age Ceiling for Re-Employment

Pursuant to the amendments made to the Retirement and Re-employment Act (Cap. 274A), from 1 July 2017, employers must offer re-employment for employees, who are Singapore citizens, who reach the retirement age of 62 up to the age of 67. In the alternative, employers may offer such employees an Employment Assistance Payment (“**EAP**”). Under the new rules, if the employer is unable to re-employ such employees, it can transfer its re-employment obligations to another employer instead of paying an EAP, provided the following conditions are satisfied:-

1. The employee is willing to accept re-employment with the other employer;
2. The other employer must be willing to take on the existing re-employment obligations, including:-
 - a. Offering re-employment to the employee up to age 67; and
 - b. Offering EAP should the employer be unable to offer re-employment to the employee.

[More...](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORESOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

SINGAPORE

1
JUL

2017

Amendments to the Child Development Co-Savings Act (Cap. 38A)

From 1 July 2017, shared parental leave (“SPL”) will be increased from 1 to 4 weeks. Mothers can elect to share their maternity leave with the fathers of their children in blocks of weeks. This one-time election can be made any time before the child turns 12 months old, and cannot be changed once it is made. SPL must be consumed within 12 months from the birth of the child. Adoptive fathers may also enjoy SPL from their spouse’s adoption leave.

Also from 1 July 2017, adoption leave (“AL”) will be increased from 4 to 12 weeks. The first 4 weeks of AL will be paid for by the employer (for the 1st and 2nd child), and the last 8 weeks by the Government. For the 3rd and subsequent child, all 12 weeks of leave will be funded by the Government.

[More...](#)

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

CONTRIBUTED BY:

**RAJAH
TANN***Lawyers who know Asia*

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

**SOUTH
KOREA**

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
required**Looking
Back****Looking
Forward**

LOOKING BACK

SOUTH
KOREA**1
JAN**

2017

Implementation of Minimum Retirement Age to Companies with Less than 300 Employees

- On May 22, 2013, to better reflect the change in demographics (e.g., the aging workforce) and the social and economic circumstances in Korea, the Act on Prohibition of Age Discrimination in Employment and Elderly Employment Promotion was amended to establish a minimum retirement age of 60.
- While this minimum retirement age previously applied only to companies with 300 or more employees, as of January 1, 2017, it applies to all companies in Korea.

[More...](#)SOUTH
KOREA**1
JAN**

2017

Requirement to Maintain and Return Job Applicants' Application Documents

- Pursuant to the Fair Hiring Procedure Act (which came into effect on January 1, 2015), a company is required (i) to maintain an applicant's application documents for a certain period of time and (ii) when a job applicant (who has been turned down) requests the return of his/her application documents, the company must return said documents. Failure to do so may result in the company being issued a corrective order by the Ministry of Employment and Labor ("MOEL") or an administrative fine of up to KRW 3,000,000.
- While the above requirement previously applied only to companies with 100 or more employees, as of January 1, 2017, it applies to companies with 30 or more employees.

[More...](#)SOUTH
KOREA**1
JAN**

2017

Increase in the Maximum Amount of Maternity Leave Compensation

- Under the Labor Standards Act, a pregnant employee is entitled to 90 days (or 120 days, in case of multiple births during the same pregnancy) of maternity leave before or after childbirth. Furthermore, the pregnant employee is entitled to receive from the Employment Insurance Agency 90 days' ordinary wage (or 120 days' ordinary wage, in case of multiple births during the same pregnancy) if she works at a "priority company" (which generally refers to small to mid size companies meeting certain employee headcount requirements) or the equivalent of 30 days' ordinary wage (or 45 days' ordinary wage, in case of multiple births during the same pregnancy) if she does not work at a "priority company" up to the maximum limit further described below.
- Until 2016, the maximum amount for maternity leave compensation was KRW 1,350,000 per month; however, as of January 1, 2017, the maximum amount has been increased to KRW 1,500,000 per month.
- To receive the maternity leave compensation, the employee must apply for compensation after 60 days (or after one month, if she works for a "priority company") of maternity leave, but before 12 months have passed since the end of the maternity leave.

[More...](#)SOUTH
KOREA**1
JAN**

2017

Increase in Minimum Wage

- The minimum wage for 2017 has increased by 7.3% (by KRW 440) to KRW 6,470 per hour.

[More...](#)

No significant policy, legal or case developments are anticipated within the employment space during 2017 Q2.

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA**SRI LANKA**

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

LOOKING BACK

SRI LANKA

**1
MAR**

2017

SC Appeal 88/2010

The Applicant-Appellant filed an application in the Labour Tribunal alleging that his services were unjustifiably and wrongfully terminated by the Respondent-Respondent. The Labour Tribunal, ordered compensation equivalent to two years salary of the Applicant-Appellant. Being aggrieved by the order of the Labour Tribunal, the Applicant-Appellant (the former employee) appealed to the High Court. The learned High Court Judge by his order, reduced the said amount to 12 months salary.

The Applicant-Appellant submitted that orders of the Labour Tribunal are based on the principle “just and equitable” and as such the learned High Court Judge had not observed the said principle when he reduced the quantum of damages ordered by the Labour Tribunal.

The main question that arose for consideration in this matter was whether the High Court in the exercise of its appellate jurisdiction has the power, in an appeal filed by the employee, to reduce compensation when there is no appeal by the employer.

The Supreme Court held that the High Court in the exercise of its appellate jurisdiction considers an appeal filed against an order or judgment of Labour Tribunal, and that it has the power to affirm, reverse, correct or modify an order or the judgment of a Labour Tribunal. Further, it was held that the High Court in the exercise of its appellate powers has the right to reduce compensation awarded by the Labour Tribunal when it considers an appeal filed by a employee or trade union although there is no appeal by his employer and that the High Court also has the power to enhance the compensation awarded by the Labour Tribunal when it considers an appeal filed by the employer although there is no appeal by the employee or the trade union.

The Supreme Court affirmed the judgment of the High Court and dismissed the appeal without costs.

More...

SRI LANKA

**20
JUN**

2017

S.C Appeal 161/2012

The Applicant Appellant-Appellant-Appellant had been employed as a Senior Manager by the Employer. The parent company of the Employer had decided to remove the CEO and replace him with another. The Applicant along with 14 other Managers had sent a memorandum to the parent company protesting against the removal of the CEO and stating inter alia that if he was removed, the business of the company would break down in ten months. The Applicant was the first signatory to the memorandum. His services were terminated on account of his having sent this memorandum without making any representations to the local company which was his employer.

Before the Labour Tribunal the Employer asserted certain other reasons as well to justify the termination – but these matters did not figure prominently in the decision of the Supreme Court. The Labour Tribunal dismissed the Applicant’s application and on appeal to the High Court, the Tribunal’s order was affirmed and the appeal dismissed.

The Supreme Court affirmed the decision of the Tribunal and the High Court and dismissed the Applicant’s appeal. It held that the sending of the memorandum constituted just cause for the employer to lose confidence in the Applicant and that the termination was therefore justified.

The Applicant had also complained that there had been no ‘show-cause’ letter or charge sheet or due opportunity given to him to produce any witnesses or refute the allegations against him.

In this regard, the Supreme Court observed that there is no requirement in law that a domestic inquiry should be held prior to the termination of services of an employee and that the Labour Tribunal functions as an original Court or Tribunal.

While noting one of its previous decisions which held that although a domestic inquiry is not statutorily required, an inquiry helps to establish the bona fides of the employer, and a dismissal without an inquiry may sometimes be indicative that the Employer had acted arbitrarily, it accepted the stance of the employer that an inquiry (though not a formal domestic inquiry) had been conducted in the best manner possible given the volatile situation at the time.

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA**SRI LANKA**

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

SRI LANKA

**28
JUN**

2017

SC Appeal 99/2010

The Applicant Respondent-Respondent performed services as a motor assessor for the Sri Lanka Insurance Corporation, the Respondent-Appellant-Appellant.

When his contract was terminated, the Applicant made an application to the Labour Tribunal seeking relief alleging that he had been on a contract of service with the Corporation and was an employee whose services had been unjustifiably terminated.

The Corporation contended that the contract on which the Applicant had been engaged was one with an independent contractor and was a contract for services.

The Supreme Court held that the work of a Motor Assessor – whose functions including inspections assessments and investigations connected with motor insurance claims made by the customers to the corporation, were an integral part of the Corporation’s business and held that the Applicant was an employee of the Corporation on a contract of service.

The Court also noted that the Corporation had exercised a considerable measure of control over the Applicant regarding the performance of his duties and that such control was inconsistent with the relationship with an independent contractor engaged on a contract for services.

In this connection, the Court noted that the letter by which the Applicant had been engaged, specifically provided that that the Applicant was required to safeguard the interests of the Corporation at all times and observed that the Applicant (Assessor) could not favour the owner of the vehicle and/or assess damage at his own discretion and that if his recommendation was against the interests of the Corporation, his services could be terminated for that reason.

It also noted, among other things, that the Applicant had been required to arrive for work at 9.30 a.m. every day, had to provide reasons to explain late attendance and further that Assessors were not given assignments if they arrived late.

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

**Looking
Back**

**Looking
Forward**

CONTRIBUTED BY:

John Wilson Partners

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition**Important:**
action likely
required**Good to know:**
follow
developments**Note changes:**
no action
required**Looking
Back****Looking
Forward**

LOOKING BACK

TAIWAN

**21
DEC**

2016

Presidential Order to Amend Certain Provisions of the Labor Standards Act

The Presidential Order with Ref. No. Hua-Zhong-Yi-Yi-Zi 10500157731 dated December 21, 2016 announced the amendment of Articles 23, 24, 30-1, 34, 36, 37, 38, 39, 74 and 79 of the Labor Standards Act.

Main Points of the Amendment:

- When paying wages, employer must disclose the formulas used for each item of remuneration (Article 23, Paragraph 1)
- Increased wages for working on rest days, and those working hours are included into the monthly extended working hours total (Article 24)
- Work shifts should allow at least 11 hours of rest for an employee (Article 34, Paragraph 2)
- Established clear legal basis for two days of rest – employees should get 2 days of rest every 7 days, one as a day off, and the other as a rest day. (Articles 30-1 and 36)
- National holidays shall revert to be uniformly regulated by the relevant rules of the Ministry of the Interior. (Article 37)
- Increased the rights of junior employees regarding annual leaves. Several other rules, such as “annual leaves shall in principle be taken at the request of the employee”, “employer shall inform employees to arrange the annual leaves when the employee meets the conditions under the law”, “employer shall pay compensation for annual leaves not taken”, and “employer shall clearly record in its payroll records the annual leave days taken and the amount of compensation paid for annual leave not taken, as well as regularly notify the employee of such in writing” were added, and the burden of proof will be on the employer in the event of a labor dispute on such points so as to ensure that the employee leave scheme is properly implemented (Article 38).
- To more effectively handle complaints and strengthen the protection of rights of complainant employees, an employer’s decision to terminate, reduce in rank, reduce in salary or take any other unfavorable action against a complainant employee shall be void and invalid. The competent authority or inspection institution must, within 60 days of receipt of the complaint, resolve the situation and notify the complainant employee in writing. The identity of the complainant employee shall also remain strictly in confidence. (Article 74)
- Increased the cap on fines for violation of provisions relating to wages and working hours to NT\$1 million. To further increase the employer’s duty and obligation on such issues, the competent authority may decide to further increase the fine by one half of the maximum amount stipulated under law depending on the size of the business, the number of individuals affected or the seriousness of the violation. (Article 79)

[More...](#)

TAIWAN

**30
DEC**

2016

The Ministry of Labor announced that certain provisions of the Enforcement Rules of the Labor Standards Act shall no longer be effective after January 1, 2017

Due to the promulgation of the amendments to Articles 37 and 38 of the Labor Standards Act on December 21, 2016 and their implementation after January 1, 2017, the Ministry of Labor announced in its Lao-Dong-Tiao-3-Zi-1050133033 Circular dated December 30, 2016 that the current Article 23 (definition of certain national holidays), Paragraphs 2 and 3 of Article 24 (annual leaves) of the Enforcement Rules of the Labor Standards Act will no longer be in effect for conflict with the aforementioned amendments.

[More...](#)

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

LOOKING BACK

TAIWAN

**7
FEB**

2017

The Ministry of Labor announced its interpretation that if an employee agreed to work on a rest day but subsequently was unable to perform for cause, compensation for the time the employee is deemed to be on leave shall be calculated based on the increased standards for rest days

The Lao-Dong-Tiao-2-Zi-1050133150 Circular published by the Ministry of Labor on February 7, 2017 states that if an employee has agreed to work on a rest day but could not perform for the agreed amount of time for cause, then in addition to the compensation due under Article 39 of the Labor Standards Act, compensation for work hours that day shall be calculated according to Article 24 of the Labor Standards Act, and for the time deemed as on leave, compensation shall be then calculated in accordance with the increased rates for rest days and regulations of leave-taking by employees.

TAIWAN

**7
FEB**

2017

The Ministry of Labor announced its explanation regarding how an employer shall handle occupational hazard compensation and employee pension per Article 61 of the Labor Standards Act if an employee suffered disability, injury, sickness or death as a result of an occupation hazard

In the event an employee suffers a disability, injury, sickness or death as a result of an occupational hazard, the Ministry of Labor stated in its Lao-Dong-Tiao-2-Zi-1050133076 Circular dated February 7, 2017 that under Article 61, Paragraph 2 of the Labor Standards Act, the occupational hazard compensation due will not be affected by the employee's departure; accordingly, if an employee dies after leaving his employment in the same occupational hazard event, the employer must still provide compensation, and the amount may not be offset by the pension that the employee had collected.

TAIWAN

**3
MAY**

2017

The Ministry of Labor announced its interpretation regarding whether an employee may choose to take a make-up rest day after agreeing to work on a rest day per Article 36 of the Labor Standards Act ("LSA") and other make-up rest day related regulations.

1. The LSA imposes a basic duty on the employer to provide an employee rest day wages per Article 24, Paragraph 2 of the LSA after an employee has agreed to work on a rest day per Article 36 of the LSA.
2. As for whether an employee can choose to take a make-up rest day after he/she has worked on the rest day, the law does not prohibit such an arrangement, so it is up to the employer and the employee to negotiate and settle on the terms as to the rules for taking make-up rest days, how many make-up rest days are allowed in a year, how unused make-up rest days may be paid, etc. while not infringing on the rights of the employee or affect the employer's human resources planning.
3. If the employee does not express the intent to take a make-up rest day after working on a rest day, the employer should still pay the rest day wages for the work done. It is only when the employer restricts an employee to only being able to choose to take a make-up day off after working on a rest day would it be deemed as a violation of the LSA. In disputes regarding the wages paid for work on a rest day, the employer shall have the burden of proof.

More...

TAIWAN

**3
MAY**

2017

The Ministry of Labor announced its interpretation regarding a question over an employee who has agreed to work on a rest day but cannot do so on that day for personal reasons.

If an employee has reached an agreement with an employer to work on a rest day, but could not arrive at work or provide the agreed-upon work hours on that day for personal reasons, then the original extended work hours calculated under Article 32, Paragraph 2 of the LSA may be based on the "actual hours of work provided" (e.g., if the employee agreed to work for 8 hours but could only actually work for 5 hours due to personal matters or illness, 5 hours of extended work hours shall be counted). To avoid disputes, it is best if the above circumstance is provided for in the group agreement, the employment agreement, or the

Cont'd

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

TAIWAN

**3
MAY**

2017

work rules so that the parties may follow the agreed-upon rules for the relevant matters, such as the notification procedure for being unable to work the agreed-upon hours and whether those hours shall be counted as personal leave, etc.

[More...](#)

The Ministry of Labor amended certain provisions of the “Enforcement Rules of the Labor Standards Act”.

1. To protect the employee’s interests, a new provision which excludes taking reduced wages due to taking ordinary sick leave pursuant to the Regulations on the Leave-Taking of Employees, or taking menstruation leave, maternity leave, family caretaking leave, or recuperation leave pursuant to the Act of Gender Equality in Employment, and the unpaid work suspension leave into the calculation of the average wages (amending Article 2)
2. In accordance with the new rules on rest days under Article 36 of the LSA, adjustments are made as to the items that should be set out in an employment contract, the composition of the minimum wage, as well as the situations under which the employer is required to provide notice (amending Articles 7, 11 and 20).
3. To establish the principle of same pay for the same work and to improve the labor terms for child employees so as to cover them under the minimum wage rules, the current Article 14 is deleted.
4. In accordance with the amendments to Article 23 of the LSA regarding the list of employee wages paid, it is specified that the employer must provide the detailed calculation formula and method for each wage item (amending Article 14-1).
5. Adjustments are made to the definition of extended work hours under the law, including (i) hours worked beyond the regular 40-hour work week stipulated under Article 30, Paragraph 1 of the LSA, and (ii) hours worked on the rest days stipulated under Article 36 (amending Article 20-1).
6. In accordance with the attendance record rules under Article 30, Paragraphs 5 and 6 of the LSA, it is clearly specified that the method of recording attendance include a sign-in book, attendance card, card-swipe machine, front door access card, biometric recognition system, electronic attendance record system or any other tool that allows recording of work hours. If an employer is in need of such records due to a labor inspection or if the employee requests a copy of such records, they shall be presented in writing (amending Article 21).
7. In accordance with the revisions made to types of leave as defined under Article 37 of the LSA, the memorial days and holidays on which employees are entitled to rest will all return to the jurisdiction of the Ministry of the Interior, thus the current Article 23 is deleted.
8. To prevent the employees from losing memorial days and holidays due to overlapping with days off or rest days, the make-up holiday rules under Article 37 of the LSA are inserted (new Article 23-1).
9. In accordance with the amendments to Article 38, Paragraphs 1 and 3 of the LSA, clarifications regarding the implementation of annual paid leave are inserted amending Article 24):
 - (i) The employer shall inform the employee of annual leave arrangements within 30 days after the employee becomes eligible for annual paid leave.
 - (ii) The employer and the employee may negotiate on the provision of the annual paid leave granted by the law to the employee based on the year calculated from the employment start date, the calendar year, the academic year, the business unit’s fiscal year or any other year period that the parties have agreed upon.
10. In accordance with the amendment to Article 38, Paragraph 4 of the LSA, a new definition of wages for untaken leave and the payment date for that amount are inserted (new Article 24-1):

[Cont’d](#)

LOOKING BACK

TAIWAN

**16
JUN**

2017

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

**Looking
Back**

**Looking
Forward**

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

TAIWAN

16
JUN

2017

- (i) The employer is required to pay a day's wage for each untaken leave day on the agreed year period between the employee and the employer upon the end of the agreed year period, or upon the termination of employment;
- (ii) One day's wage is defined as the wage earned for one business day during regular business hours in the day just prior to the end of the agreed year period or the termination of employment. For those paid by month, one day's wage shall be the wage earned during regular business hours in the last month and divided by 30.
- (iii) The employer must make payment for untaken leave either on the agreed payday or 30 days after the end of the agreed year period. If the employment is terminated, the employer shall settle wage payment as soon as possible in accordance with Article 9.
11. In accordance with the amendment to Article 38, Paragraph 5 of the LSA, a supplemental clarification on the required written notice that the employers shall provide to employees periodically during the year (new Article 24-2).
12. An additional definition of leave days is inserted to include the leave days and annual paid leave days under Article 37 and Article 38 of the LSA respectively so as to clarify the questions concerning working on leave days under Article 39 of the LSA.
13. Provisions on the time period for the competent authority and other inspection institution to handle complaints, and the provisions on prohibiting employers from retaliatory measures against whistleblower employees are moved to Article 74, Paragraph 2 and Paragraph 4 of the LSA. The current Articles 48 and 49 are thus deleted.

More...

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

2017

AUSTRALIA

CHINA

HONG KONG

INDIA

INDONESIA

JAPAN

MALAYSIA

NEW
ZEALAND

PHILIPPINES

SINGAPORE

SOUTH
KOREA

SRI LANKA

TAIWAN

VIETNAM

LOOKING BACK

VIETNAM

14
NOV

2016

Decree 166/2016/ND-CP dated 24 December 2016 of the Government

Decree 166 provides an online method to carry out administrative procedures in the field of social insurance, in particular social, health and unemployment insurance. Under this Decree, documents for electronic social insurance comprise of: (i) Application for e-social insurance; (ii) Accounting documents in compliance with e-accounting system of Vietnam Social Insurance; (iii) Other documents, notifications of organizations, persons conducting e-social insurance transactions.

The Decree also specifies conditions which must be satisfied to be eligible to use this online administrative procedure.

This Decree takes effect as from 1 March 2017.

VIETNAM

24
APR

2017

A New Decree Regulating on Statutory Pay Rate for Public Officials, Public Employees and Armed Forces' Personnel

On 24 April 2017, the Government of Vietnam issued Decree No. 47/2017/ND-CP on statutory pay rate for public officials, public employees and armed forces' personnel. Notably, the monthly basic salary is adjusted from VND 1,210,000 per month to VND 1,300,000 per month (an increase of 7.5%) which is used for social insurance calculation. The cap for calculating the maximum social insurance contribution therefore increases from VND 24,200,000 per month to 26,000,000 per month.

Decree 47 takes effect as from 1 July 2017

Click here
to view
2016 edition

Important:
action likely
required

Good to know:
follow
developments

Note changes:
no action
required

Looking
Back

Looking
Forward

CONTACT LIST

AUSTRALIA

CORRS
CHAMBERS
WESTGARTH
lawyers



John Tuck
CORRS CHAMBERS WESTGARTH
Level 25, 567 Collins Street
Melbourne VIC 3000, Australia
T: +61 3 9672 3257
F: +61 3 9672 3010
E: john.tuck@corrs.com.au

CHINA

競天公誠律師事務所
JINGTIAN & GONGCHENG



Youping Deng
JINGTIAN & GONGCHENG
34/F, Tower 3, China Central Place
77 Jianguo Road, Beijing 100025,
China
T: +86 10 5809 1033
F: +86 10 5809 1100
E: deng.youping@jingtian.com

MAYER · BROWN
JSM



Andy Yeo
JSM SHANGHAI
REPRESENTATIVE OFFICE
Suite 2305, Tower II, Plaza 66
1266 Nan Jing Road West
Shanghai 200040, China
T: +86 21 6032 0266
F: +852 2103 5437
E: andy.yeo@mayerbrownjism.com

HONG KONG

MAYER · BROWN
JSM



Duncan Abate
MAYER BROWN JSM
16th - 19th Floors, Prince's Building
10 Chater Road, Central, Hong Kong
T: +852 2843 2203
F: +852 2103 5066
E: duncan.abate@mayerbrownjism.com



Hong Tran
MAYER BROWN JSM
16th - 19th Floors, Prince's Building
10 Chater Road, Central, Hong Kong
T: +852 2843 4233
F: +852 2103 5070
E: hong.tran@mayerbrownjism.com

INDIA

TRILEGAL



Ajay Raghavan
TRILEGAL
The Residency, 7th Floor
133/1 Residency Road, Bangalore - 560 025, India
T: +91 80 4343 4666
F: +91 80 4343 4699
E: ajay.raghavan@trilegal.com

INDONESIA

SSEK
Indonesian Legal Consultants



Fahrul S. Yusuf
SSEK
14th Floor, Mayapada Tower
Jl. Jend. Sudirman Kav.28
Jakarta 12920, Indonesia
T: +62 21 521 2038
F: +62 21 521 2039
E: fahrlyusuf@ssek.com

CONTACT LIST

JAPAN

ANDERSON MORI & TOMOTSUNE

**James M. Minamoto**

ANDERSON MORI & TOMOTSUNE
Akasaka K-Tower, 2-7, Motoakasaka 1-chome
Minato-ku, Tokyo 107-0051, Japan
T: +81 3 6888 1056
F: +81 3 6888 3056
E: james.minamoto@amt-law.com

MALAYSIA

Shearn Delamore & Co

**Sivabalah Nadarajah**

SHEARN DELAMORE & CO.
7th Floor, Wisma Hamzah-Kwong Hing
No. 1 Leboh Ampang 50100, Kuala Lumpur,
Malaysia
T: +603 2076 2866
F: +603 2026 4506
E: sivabalah@shearndelamore.com

NEW ZEALAND

Simpson Grierson

**Phillipa Muir**

SIMPSON GRIERSON
Level 27, Lumley Centre,
88 Shortland Street, Private Bay 92518,
Auckland 1141, New Zealand
T: +64 09 977 5071
F: +64 09 977 5083
E: phillipa.muir@simpsongrierson.com

**Carl Blake**

SIMPSON GRIERSON
Level 27, Lumley Centre,
88 Shortland Street, Private Bay 92518,
Auckland 1141, New Zealand
T: +64 09 977 5163
F: +64 09 977 5083
E: carl.blake@simpsongrierson.com

PHILIPPINES

 ROMULO
ROMULO MABANTA BUENAVENTURA
SAYOC & DE LOS ANGELES
**Enriqueito J. Mendoza**

ROMULO MABANTA BUENAVENTURA SAYOC &
DE LOS ANGELES
21st Floor, Philamlife Tower, 8767 Paseo de Roxas
Makati City 1226, Philippines
T: +632 555 9555
F: +632 810 3110
E: enriqueito.mendoza@romulo.com

SINGAPORE

**RAJAH
TANN**
Lawyers who know Asia**Kala Anandarajah**

RAJAH & TANN LLP.
9 Battery Road, #25-01 Straits Trading Building
Singapore 049910
T: +65 6232 0111
F: +65 6225 7725
E: kala.anandarajah@rajahtann.com

CONTACT LIST

SOUTH KOREA

KIM & CHANG

**C.W. Hyun**

KIM & CHANG

Seyang Building, 223 Naeja-dong, Jongno-gu
Seoul 110-720, Korea

T: +822 3703 1114

F: +822 737 9091

E: cwhyun@kimchang.com

SRI LANKA

John Wilson Partners

**John Wilson**

JOHN WILSON PARTNERS

Attorneys-at-Law & Notaries Public

365 Dam Street, Colombo 12, Sri Lanka

T: +94 11 232 4579/+94 11 244 8931/+94 11 232 1652

F: +94 11 244 6954

E: john@srilankalaw.com

TAIWAN

理慈
Lee, Tsai & Partners**Chung Teh Lee**

LEE, TSAI & PARTNERS

9F, 218 Tun Hwa S. Road, Sec. 2
Taipei 106, Taiwan, R.O.C.

T: +886 2 2378 5780

F: +886 2 2378 5781

E: ctlee@leetsai.com

**Elizabeth Pai**

LEE, TSAI & PARTNERS

9F, 218 Tun Hwa S. Road, Sec. 2
Taipei 106, Taiwan, R.O.C.

T: +886 2 7745 3583

F: +886 2 2378 5781

E: elizabethpai@leetsai.com

VIETNAM

MAYER · BROWN
JSM**Hoang Anh Nguyen**

MAYER BROWN JSM (VIETNAM)

Suite 606, 6th Floor, Central Building, 31 Hai Ba Trung

Hoan Kiem District, Hanoi, Vietnam

T: +84 4 3266 3115

F: +84 4 3825 9776

E: hoanganh.nguyen@mayerbrownjmsm.com